Water Protection Toolkit



Connecting Land Use With Water Quality

AWARE Colorado

http://www.awarecolorado.org

303-861-5195

Revised February 2007

About AWARE Colorado

AWARE Colorado is a statewide program to help communities protect water quality through land use choices. Its purpose is to educate local decision makers about the impacts of land use on water quality.

AWARE Colorado is an initiative of the League of Women Voters of Colorado Education Fund, the 501(c)(3), non-advocacy component of the organization. AWARE Colorado is funded by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment through a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The project is guided by an advisory committee of water quality and land use experts. Colorado State University Cooperative Extension provides research assistance and technical support to the program.

AWARE Colorado is a charter member of the National Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials (NEMO) Network. The network includes over 30 state and local programs that address the water quality impacts of land use choices. The network is a source of information, materials, research and support for AWARE Colorado.

This booklet is designed for use in conjunction with community presentations throughout Colorado. For information about scheduling a presentation call 303-861-5195.

Contact Us

AWARE Colorado

League of Women Voters of Colorado Education Fund 1410 Grant Street, B204, Denver, CO 80203 Phone Denver: 303-861-5195 Phone Colorado: 888-861-9969 Fax: 303-837-9917 info@awarecolorado.org

Program Director, Cynthia Peterson cpeterson@awarecolorado.org

Special Thanks To

Jill Baron **Terry Baus** Eric Bergman Tom Boyce Joe Chaplin Jane Clary Russ Clayshulte Jill Cooper Michelle DeLaria Laurie DiBattista John Doerfer Kathy Dolan Gary Finstad Laurie Fisher Karil Frohboese Jeannette Hillery Barb Horn Julio Iturreria

Susan Lefever Loretta Lohman Carol Maclennan Dave Merritt Darren Mollendor Peter Monahan Carl Norbeck Mike Norton Brenda O'Brien Gini Pingenot **Dixie Schmatz** Patty Schoedler Charlie Unseld Reagan Waskom **Bill Wenk David Winger** Robert Zebroski

Table of Contents





Land Use Officials and Water Quality

Local land use decision makers play a critical role in preventing pollution and protecting water quality in Colorado. In fact, effective water quality management starts with strategic community planning at the local level. Even before any dirt is moved, thoughtful planning and land use decisions are critical to water quality protection.

Until recently, most communities dealt with stormwater runoff by collecting it in storm sewer systems and conveying it to the nearest stream or river as quickly as possible. But this "collect and convey" strategy only serves to create an "express route" for polluted runoff to impair local and state water resources.

Now, to protect water quality, different strategies must be employed. And that's where local land use decision makers come in.

Preventing pollution at the source through wise planning is the best, most effective approach to protect water resources. Healthy aquatic ecosystems and clean water are vital to the quality of life in Colorado's communities both now and for future generations. Local officials are in a unique position to proactively incorporate water quality protection in land use decisions.

At a Glance

- Land use is directly linked to water quality.
- Land use decision makers play a key role in protecting water quality and preventing pollution.
- Healthy aquatic ecosystems and clean water are vital to the quality of life Coloradoans expect now and in the future.
- There are many effective strategies to help communities protect water quality while accommodating growth and development.
- Strategic community planning can enhance water quality and potentially reduce expenses related to stormwater management.

Many strategies related to transportation infrastructure, community design criteria, landscaping and tree cover, among others, can help communities protect water quality while accommodating growth and development. Although many communities already implement such strategies for a variety of reasons, they may not recognize that water quality also benefits from these approaches. Often, communities need only remove regulatory barriers in order to allow innovative, water-protective practices.

This approach has been used effectively in communities throughout the country. Beginning in 1991 with NEMO (Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials) in Connecticut, programs in over 30 states have been developed to educate local officials about the link between land use and water quality. As part of the National NEMO Network, AWARE Colorado incorporates successful strategies and approaches from these initiatives that are applicable to our state. As Colorado communities find success with some of these ideas, AWARE will share them with other communities around the state.

About the Toolkit

AWARE Colorado developed this toolkit of researchbased, non-advocacy information to give decision makers a resource to better understand approaches to protecting water quality in their communities and watersheds. The toolkit is not intended to provide technical or legal recommendations. Rather, its purpose is to give communities ideas about ways to protect water quality, and suggested resources for learning more. The toolkit includes proven management strategies and practices appropriate for Colorado.

Our research is ongoing, so be sure to check for updates at <http://www.awarecolorado. org>.

This toolkit is available online in PDF format at <http:// www.awarecolorado.org/toolkit.html>.

The toolkit provides background information about:

- the water quality impacts of land use, polluted runoff and impervious surfaces
- the importance of internal and external collaboration for communities
- water-protective planning and zoning tools
- strategies to prevent, or reduce, polluted runoff from transportation infrastructure such as streets and parking lots
- ways to improve landscaping practices and enhance community tree cover
- state and federal regulatory programs that impact local governments
- resources for additional guidance

Specials Notes

Web addresses cited in this toolkit are current and "live" at the time of publication. Since Web addresses may change, visit <http://www.awarecolorado.org> for an updated list of Web resources and the latest version of the "Water Protection Toolkit for Local Officials."

Compliance with state water rights laws and administrative practices of state water officials is outside the scope of this toolkit or the AWARE Colorado program. Questions regarding water law should be referred to professionals having experience and knowledge in the area of water rights and their administration. For additional information about the strategies discussed in this toolkit, see "National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas." This document from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency can be viewed or downloaded at <http://www. epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>.

Polluted Runoff

Polluted runoff, also known as nonpoint source pollution, occurs when contaminants are picked up by rainwater, snowmelt or landscape irrigation, then carried off to be deposited in lakes, rivers and streams. Some examples are: oil and sand from roads, sediment from disturbed soil, lawn and garden products, and toxic materials from urban and suburban areas.

Sometimes these pollutants flow directly off the land to nearby bodies of water; other times they first travel through the storm drainage system.

Polluted runoff can be generated by all uses of land such as residential and commercial development, transportation infrastructure, mining and agriculture.

Human activities, such as the improper use of yard and garden chemicals, improper disposal of automotive products and failure to pick up after pets, also contribute to the problem.

At a Glance

- Polluted runoff is generated when contaminants on the land are picked up by rainwater, snowmelt or landscape irrigation and transported to nearby water bodies.
- Polluted runoff is related to various land uses and human activities.
- Polluted runoff can have a number of impacts on water quality, with negative consequences for the environment and human health.

Polluted runoff can have a number of negative impacts on water quality. For example, increasing the sediment and nutrient loads upsets nature's balance in streams and lakes. Excess nutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorus, lead to algae blooms and a condition known as eutrophication, which damages aquatic ecosystems. Metals and other toxic



chemicals in polluted runoff negatively impact aquatic life and human health. Finally, polluted runoff can introduce bacteria, viruses and other pathogens into local water bodies.

Rain and snowmelt wash contaminants into storm drain.

Watersheds

We all live in a watershed.

Watersheds often cross municipal, county and state boundaries. Pollution in one part of a watershed can affect water quality elsewhere in the watershed.

A watershed is the area of land, which catches rain and snow that drains or seeps into a marsh, stream, river, lake or groundwater. The highest ground, such as mountains or ridges, forms boundaries between watersheds.

At a Glance

- A watershed is the area of land that catches rain or snow that then drains or seeps into a common marsh, stream, river, lake or groundwater.
- Watersheds often cross municipal, county and state borders.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency considers watersheds the basic organizing system when addressing the impacts of water pollution.

In Colorado, there are a number of initiatives involving citizen-based watershed groups that are good sources of information or may be potential partners in collaborative water protection efforts. For more information visit the Colorado Watershed Assembly http://www.coloradowater.org>.



A typical watershed

For More Information

Colorado Water Protection Project http://www.ourwater.org>

Colorado Watershed Network http://www.coloradowatershed.org>

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Watersheds http://www.epa.gov/owow/watershed>



Reducing Impervious Surfaces

Background Information

There are many ways that land use can lead to an increase in polluted runoff. One of the most crucial factors: impervious surfaces. In fact, a recent study conducted by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration estimates that the area of impervious surfaces – roads, rooftops, parking lots, etc. – in the contiguous United States is approximately 112,610 square kilometers or almost the size of Ohio.

Impervious surfaces include materials like cement, asphalt, roofing, and even compacted soil. In short, anything that prevents percolation of water into the ground is an impervious surface and can be a source of additional polluted runoff to nearby water bodies.

In an undisturbed environment, water falls to the ground, and runs off or percolates and filters through the soil into the groundwater. Either way, water makes its way to our streams, ponds, wetlands, rivers and lakes.

As we develop and increase the impervious surfaces in an area, this natural cycle is disturbed, impacting both water quantity and quality:

- Impervious surfaces increase the volume of stormwater runoff because the runoff can't soak into the soil. This can lead to more frequent and severe runoff events, which may increase flooding and erosion. Because of impervious surfaces, a typical city block generates over five times more runoff than a woodland area of the same size.
- Decreased infiltration means less groundwater recharge. This can lead to lower stream flows in dry weather.
- Impervious surfaces deliver more pollution

 generated from our use of the land to nearby waterways.

At a Glance

- Impervious surfaces are any materials that prevent infiltration of rain and snowmelt into the ground.
- Increased impervious cover is a large factor in water quality impacts related to land use.
- Minimizing impervious areas is a key strategy to reduce the flow of polluted runoff to nearby water resources.



More Infiltration

Before Development



• And, finally, as impervious cover increases, so does the temperature of water entering nearby lakes, rivers and streams, which can negatively impact the aquatic environment.

Minimizing impervious areas is a key strategy to reduce the flow of polluted runoff to nearby water resources. Disconnecting impervious surfaces from one another with porous areas, such as swales (see Swales Promote Infiltration) or filter strips (see Buffers and Setbacks Safeguard Sensitive Areas) also reduces negative water quality impacts.

Reducing impervious surfaces is as important for areas undergoing redevelopment as is it for new development. In fact, by removing existing impervious surfaces and including water protective strategies in site designs, considerable water quality improvements can be gained through redevelopment.

Besides reducing impervious surface area, communities may also want to explore other effective approaches to reduce polluted runoff such as:

- public education and demonstration programs that address ways to reduce polluted runoff
- street sweeping/cleaning
- reduced use of sand and anti-icing compounds
- sediment control at construction sites
- household hazardous waste disposal programs

Geographic information system (GIS) analysis of existing and potential impervious cover in a community can be a useful tool in evaluating the impacts of development on water resources.

For More Information

Impacts of Development on Waterways http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_fact_3.pdf>

Paving Our Way to Water Shortages: How Sprawl Aggravates the Effects of Drought http://www.smartgrowthamerica.com/waterandsprawl.html

Addressing Imperviousness in Plans, Site Design and Land Use Regulations http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_1.pdf>

Methods for Measuring and Estimating Impervious Surface Coverage http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_3.pdf

Do it Yourself! Impervious Surface Buildout Analysis http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_4.pdf>

2005 Fountain Creek Watershed Impervious Surface Area and Watershed Health Analysis Report

<http://www.ppacg.org/Envir/Fountain%20Creek/envir_fountaincreek.htm>

National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas ">http://www.epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>

Learning from Nature: Reducing Urban Stormwater Impacts http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/pdf/Wulliman-LakeLine%20Article.pdf>





Background Information

Water resources are not bound by jurisdictional boundaries. Instead, they exist within a watershed – the area of land, which catches rain and snow that drains or seeps into a common marsh, stream, river, lake or groundwater. (See The Water and Land Connection.)

Within a watershed, water quality issues can range from region to region, from community to community, and between urban and rural areas. They can impact and involve multiple governmental entities, such as federal, tribal, state, county and municipal governments.

At a Glance

- Because water resources are not bound by jurisdictional borders, collaboration is important when addressing water quality issues.
- Collaboration can occur between governmental entities, within a governmental entity, or with other organizations or businesses.

Within a local government, a variety of departments,

such as parks and recreation, transportation, planning and zoning, environmental health, open space and public safety, can play a role in land use and water quality protection. A key partner can be the local stormwater program, which in larger communities is charged with preventing degradation of local water resources due to stormwater runoff.

Even private businesses and organizations, such as land trusts and watershed groups, can be engaged in planning to protect water resources.

Community Strategies

- Collaborate with other governmental entities within your community's watershed(s) to cooperatively address water quality concerns.
- Engage all affected governmental departments in a collective effort to reduce polluted runoff and achieve community water quality goals.
- Work with other groups, businesses and organizations to protect water resources within the watershed.

Some Examples

• The city of Loveland collaborates with the Big Thompson Watershed Forum on a number of projects including an annual survey of residents to gauge their knowledge about stormwater. The city and the forum also sponsor events such as the annual Big Thompson River Revival, where volunteers help clean the river. Other collaboration plans call for a new adopt-a-waterway program, and a citizen's panel that will have a voice in local water issues.

- Cherry Creek Stewardship Partners is an alliance of individual citizens and representatives from land use jurisdictions, state and federal resource management agencies, conservation, recreation and historic preservation groups, and the business community. Numerous political subdivisions exist in the Cherry Creek basin including cities, counties and special districts. As an example of cooperative efforts, the partners, with assistance from The Trust for Public Land and funding from Great Outdoors Colorado, have developed the Cherry Creek Basin Open Space Conservation & Stewardship Plan. It includes existing documents as well as new inventory and mapping efforts. The plan serves as a guide for cooperation and future initiatives.
- Many communities have collaborative processes to coordinate various agencies when reviewing development plans, For example, the City of Loveland holds a meeting of the Development Review Team for each development project that will require planning commission or city council approval. This meeting includes the applicant, the applicant's representative and a person from each of the city departments that will be involved in the project. This means, depending on the project, that a staff member from current planning, stormwater, parks and recreation, the airport, transportation, water and wastewater, fire, power, land records (for plat information), or others may attend the meeting. With everyone in the same room, conflicting requirements between departments can be resolved and potential improvements can be explored at one meeting. This can speed the process for the developer and result in a better, more coordinated, project that meets or exceeds the city's requirements.

For More Information

Watershed Solutions: Collaborative Problem Solving for States and Communities, by the National Policy Consensus Center

<http://www.policyconsensus.org/publications/reports/docs/Watershed.pdf>

Cherry Creek Stewardship Partners <http://www.cherry-creek.org>

Cherry Creek Group Builds on Success, Colorado NPS Connection, winter 2004. http://www.ourwater.org/connection/con.12.1.pdf>



Natural Resource Inventory: An Essential First Step

Background Information

When planning to protect water quality, a natural resource inventory is an essential first step. By identifying important natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas, communities develop a framework for evaluating impacts of future development. The inventory is helpful in developing policies, reviewing land use decisions and identifying natural resources to be conserved or protected. Existing programs that protect natural resources can be assessed through the inventory.

At a Glance

A natural resource inventory is a useful tool that helps communities plan for growth while protecting important natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas.

Resources that are often identified in an inventory include steep slopes, historic and scenic vistas, wetlands, soils, aquifer recharge areas, endangered and threatened species' habitat, watersheds and wellhead protection areas. Updating floodplain information can be done in conjunction with a natural resource inventory.

Some communities supplement a natural resource inventory with an impervious cover analysis. Such geographic information system-based tools allow communities to evaluate potential water related impacts from planned growth patterns.

Community Strategies

- Undertake a natural resource inventory to identify critical natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas that may require protection, at the same time accommodating community growth and development.
- Conduct an impervious cover analysis of planned community development to assess water quality impacts.

Some Examples

- The city of Loveland, Colo., updated its natural areas inventory in 1996. It identifies and evaluates natural areas in Loveland and its area of community influence. The inventory considered and ranked such things as overall habitat; wetlands; presence of mammals; presence of reptiles/amphibians; presence of songbirds, water birds and/or raptors; animal and plant diversity; and enhancement potential. Loveland's open lands plan was then based, in large part, on information from the natural areas inventory.
- The Cherry Creek Basin Open Space Conservation & Stewardship Plan (Cherry Creek Greenprint), a project led by the Trust for Public Land, inventoried existing conditions in the Cherry Creek Basin, such as vegetation, riparian areas, water resources, existing and future land uses, and wildlife habitat. The document's goal is to help guide the creation of an interconnected open space system that protects key natural resources.

• A watershed inventory was prepared by the Roaring Fork Conservancy to provide vital statistics and information on the Roaring Fork Watershed, such as climate, geology/topography, water resources, soils, vegetation, fish, wildlife, land and water uses, and water quality/quantity concerns. Originally published in 2003, the document seeks to help people within the watershed's six municipalities and four counties to view the watershed as a whole.

For More Information

Cherry Creek Basin Open Space Conservation & Stewardship Plan (Cherry Creek Greenprint) <http://www.tpl.org/content_documents/co_cherrycreek_greenprint_plan.pdf>

Colorado Natural Heritage Program http://www.cnhp.colostate.edu

Natural Resource Guidance Checklist, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/assistance/nrplanning/community/nrchecklists/inventory.pdf

Roaring Fork Watershed Inventory <http://www.roaringfork.org/images/other/rfwatershedinventoryapr2005.pdf>

Methods for Measuring and Estimating Impervious Surface Coverage http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_3.pdf

Do It Yourself! Impervious Surface Buildout Analysis <http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_4.pdf>



Cluster Development Has Many Benefits

Background Information

Cluster development occurs when lot sizes, setbacks and frontage distances are minimized so that one portion of the site is more densely developed while the remaining portion is left undeveloped. Generally, 30 percent to 80 percent of the entire site is left as open space.

In traditional development, 35 percent to 60 percent of the site is usually cleared and graded. But this is reduced where cluster development occurs, so the potential for erosion is greatly reduced.

By clustering development, the road network and sidewalks are shortened because buildings are closer together. Studies show that imperviousness can be reduced 10 percent to 50 percent compared to conventional subdivision layouts. Also, the rate and volume of runoff – and the quantity of pollutants – can be reduced by a similar amount.

There are numerous other advantages to cluster development including 1) increased property values because of proximity to open space, 2) creation of urban wildlife habitat areas, 3) a wider range of possible sites for stormwater collection and 4)

At a Glance

- Cluster developments concentrate buildings in specific areas on a site, leaving remaining land for recreation, common open space and preservation of environmentally sensitive areas.
- Less impervious surface due to road networks occur in a cluster development.
- Pollutant loads to streams and other water resources are reduced.
- Cluster development provides more opportunities for stormwater infiltration.
- Community costs may be reduced.

concentration of stormwater runoff in one part of the site, which means it can be more effectively treated.

Cluster development is intended to be less costly to construct than traditional development. Through design, it can reduce the amount of infrastructure needed, such as streets, sanitary and storm sewers, sidewalks, streetlights, street trees and water lines. Studies suggest cost savings of 25 percent or more for some larger developments.

Community Strategies

Depending on the site, consider using cluster development as a tool that can improve water quality by reducing impervious surfaces and increasing open space. It also cuts down on erosion during construction, and offers more options for natural and planned stormwater runoff treatment.



Unlike traditional development, cluster development (right) preserves open space and minimizes impervious area, which reduces the volume and velocity of runoff and the amount of pollutants entering nearby water bodies, while maintaining the same number of building sites.

Some Examples

Eighty-four acres of ranchland are protected in Garfield County using a new cluster zoning provision aimed at preserving agricultural land. The Rural Lands Development Option was created by the county in 2000. It exempts proposals, which concentrate development on a small portion of the property while keeping the remainder for agricultural use, from the county's subdivision process. Although the county requires agricultural land to be held as open space for 40 years, the developer agreed to place the property in a perpetual conservation easement managed by the Aspen Valley Land Trust.

Douglas County has a Rural Site Plan land use classification. This is an administrative site plan process for alternative 35-acre development that provides a density bonus for preserving open space, riparian and wetland areas and habitat through clustering. http://www.douglas.co.us/community/planning/documents/RuralSitePlaninfo.pdf>

The Rural Cluster Process in Jefferson County encourages the clustering of residential dwellings on tracts of land to maintain rural character, preserve common open areas, reduce the extension of roads and utilities, and provide landowners with the opportunity to implement smart growth techniques in the rural areas of the county. This process allows a landowner to create parcels without going through a platting process. <http://www.co.jefferson.co.us/jeffco/planning_uploads/regulations/ldr/9.pdf>

For a model open space development ordinance visit

<http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/ordinance/openspace.htm>.

For More Information

Site Planning for Urban Stream Protection: Chapter 4 - Stream Protection Clusters http://www.cwp.org/SPSP/TOC.htm

Colorado Open Space Alliance <http://www.coloradoopenspace.org>

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Model Ordinances to Protect Local Resources http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/ordinance/openspace.htm>

Protecting Water Resources with Higher-Density Development http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/water_density.htm>



Buffers and Setbacks Safeguard Sensitive Areas

Background Information

A **landscape buffer** is an area designed to provide a transition between two different land uses. Usually a buffer is a vegetated area and can be left in a natural state, or it can be designed to accomplish a specific goal such as reduction in polluted runoff, increased wildlife habitat or improved visual aesthetics. (Areas that are designed and landscaped expressly for the purpose of filtering runoff are sometimes called **filter strips**.)

A **riparian buffer** is a vegetated area next to a water feature such as a stream, lake, or reservoir that restricts or prohibits development or other land uses that would negatively affect the water body. The vegetation can include plant material such as trees, shrubs and grasses. The purpose of riparian buffers is to reduce the potential for flooding, allow for runoff infiltration, protect the natural state of the water feature and, in many cases, provide habitat for animals. In addition, the vegetation may shade the water, which can moderate the temperature and improve conditions for fish and other aquatic plants and animals.

At a Glance

- Landscape buffers help filter runoff, reduce flooding, and protect against bank erosion, temperature fluctuations and other conditions.
- Riparian buffers are located near water features. To protect the water body, potentially harmful land uses are prohibited or restricted in the buffer.
- Buffers can be naturally occurring or designed to accomplish a specific goal.
- Setbacks can be used to create buffers of defined distances from sensitive riparian areas.

Setback requirements around water features stipulate that land use near the water must be "set back" from the water a defined distance based on site-specific criteria. Typical setbacks from sensitive water bodies range from 100 feet to 600 feet. How the land in the setback will be used may or may not be

defined.

Community Strategies

- Consider the use of buffers and setbacks to protect sensitive water resources.
- When feasible, incorporate filter strips between impervious surfaces, such as between parking lots and sidewalks, to interrupt direct runoff to storm sewer systems.



Riparian buffer

Some Examples

Based on size and habitat considerations, the city of Fort Collins requires a riparian buffer of 50 feet to 300 feet to protect wetland areas. Riparian buffers of 100 feet to 300 feet are required for various stream corridors within the city.

For More Information

Center for Watershed Protection - Aquatic Buffers http://www.cwp.org/aquatic_buffers.htm

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 - Best Management Practices, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Fort Collins Land Use Code <http://www.colocode.com/ftcollins/landuse/article3.htm>

Water Efficient Landscape Design Model Ordinance <http://dola.colorado.gov/dlg/osg/docs/Water%20Efficient%20Landscaping%20Design. pdf>

WaterWise Landscaping Best Practices Manual: A Companion to the Landscape Design Ordinance

<http://dola.colorado.gov/dlg/osg/docs/WaterWise%20Landscaping%20Best%20Practices %20Manual.pdf>

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Model Ordinances to Protect Local Resources http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/ordinance

Stormwater Center Buffer Model Ordinance <http://www.stormwatercenter.net/Model%20Ordinances/buffer_model_ordinance.htm>

Architecture of Stream Buffers - From "Site Planning for Urban Stream Protection" http://www.cwp.org/SPSP/CHAPTER_FIVE.PDF>

National Management Measures to ControlNonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas ">http://www.epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>

Riparian Setbacks: Technical Information for Decision Makers <http://www.crwp.org/pdf_files/riparian_setback_paper_jan_2006.pdf>



Conservation Easements and Land Trusts

Background Information

An effective way to protect water quality is to own or control land located in upstream watershed or groundwater recharge areas where development activities could impair the quality of the drinking water source. This land use strategy protects water quality in the near future and for generations to come.

A conservation easement is a legal agreement between a landowner and a land trust or government agency that permanently limits uses of the land. It allows the owner to own and use the land, and to sell it or pass it on to heirs.

Colorado provides a tax credit up to \$260,000 to preserve agricultural lands.

Although they work closely with the government, land trusts are not governmental agencies. They can acquire land, manage land, research needs, help develop open space plans, etc. As nonprofit organizations, they generally can accept donations of land, conservation easements, and more.

At a Glance

- Land acquisition and easement efforts can protect a water supply by preventing pollution-generating activities in critical areas.
- A conservation easement is

 a legal agreement between
 a landowner and a land trust
 or government agency that
 permanently limits uses of the
 land. It allows the owner to
 own and use the land, and to
 sell it or pass it on to heirs.
- Colorado has a tax credit of up to \$260,000 for the donation of a conservation easement to preserve agricultural lands.

Community Strategies

- Work with private landowners and land trusts to identify and preserve wetlands and other sensitive, disappearing landscapes.
- Cooperate with land trusts to protect areas critical to water resource protection.

Some Examples

- Farmers and ranchers in Morgan and Weld Counties established the Centennial Land Trust to preserve open space, including but not limited to farmland.
- In Boulder County, four contiguous private easements, totaling 1,180 acres, protect the South St. Vrain drainage.
- Colorado Open Lands designed a protective development in Douglas County, which allows 10 three-acre homesites on 830 acres of land. Ninety-five percent of the Allis Ranch Preserve is protected from further development through a conservation easement, including 1.5 miles of riparian corridor along West Plum Creek.

For More Information

New Federal Funding for Land Acquisition and Conservation Easements http://www.epa.gov/safewater/dwsrf/ffland.html

Land Trust Alliance http://www.lta.org

The Nature Conservancy, Conservation Easements <http://www.nature.org/aboutus/howwework/conservationmethods/privatelands/ conservationeasements>

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Conservation Easement Examples http://www.r6.fws.gov/pfw/r6pfw8b.htm

Colorado Coalition of Land Trusts http://www.cclt.org



Overlay Zoning and Planned Unit Development

Background Information

Overlay zoning, in which additional regulatory standards are superimposed on existing zoning, provides a method for developing special restrictions to protect sensitive riparian areas and water resources.

Traditional zoning is usually quite rigid; overlay zones are a way to add flexibility without creating any additional zoning categories. An overlay zone can establish additional regulations, or reduce or extend existing ones. They are generally used to address issues that are unique to a particular area such as riparian corridors.

A planned unit development (PUD) is a form of overlay zone. It incorporates a combination of diverse land uses, such as residential (possibly with a variety of densities), commercial, industrial and other uses. PUD provisions allow land to be developed in a manner that does not conform to existing requirements of any of the standard zoning districts.

At a Glance

- Overlay zones, in which additional regulatory standards are superimposed on existing zoning, are good ways to implement water protection strategies, such as riparian corridors, without having to revise the entire zoning code.
- Planned Unit Developments (PUDs) – a form of overlay zoning – allow greater flexibility and innovation than conventional standards, and can also be used to encourage water protective measures in communities.

The PUD allows greater flexibility and innovation than conventional standards because it is regulated as one unit instead of each lot being regulated separately. PUDs provide a vehicle to incorporate water protective strategies on a site-specific basis.

Community Strategies

Overlay zoning and PUDs can be a good approach to encourage strategies that protect water quality in areas where they are not currently allowed.

Some Examples

Adams County, Colo., adopted a Natural Resources Conservation Overlay District into its Zone District Regulations in 2002. The purpose is to provide for the protection of natural, wildlife, agricultural, and cultural resources, which are an essential component of the community's economic base and establish the character of the community; and to preserve and enhance the quality of life for county residents to enjoy. http://www.co.us/documents/page/planning/dev_plan/Chapter04.pdf>

For More Information

Overlay Zones, in the Tompkins County Planning Vital Communities Toolbox http://www.co.tompkins.ny.us/planning/vct/tool/overlayzones.html

"Smart Growth for Clean Water" <http://www.nalgep.org/publications/PublicationsDetail.cfm?LinkAdvID=42157>

Overlay Zoning to Protect Surface Waters <http://www.plannersweb.com/wfiles/w192.html>

National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas ">http://www.epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>



Designing Streets and Roads With Less Impact

Background Information

Streets and roads contribute a significant amount to the impervious cover of a community, by some accounts, 40 percent to 50 percent in residential areas. By modifying street and road design to accommodate actual traffic needs while reducing impervious cover, the amount of polluted runoff can be decreased substantially.

The cost of constructing and maintaining narrower roads and streets is less than wider ones. With a reduction in stormwater runoff, additional savings may accrue due to a reduction in the size of downstream stormwater management structures needed.

An added benefit of narrow streets and roads is that they tend to slow traffic and increase pedestrian, bicyclist and driver safety.

Community Strategies

 Require streets and roads to be designed with the minimum required pavement width to support travel lanes, on-street parking and emergency vehicle access. Base street width on projected

traffic volumes and desired vehicle speeds.

- Reduce the length of residential streets by reviewing minimum lot widths and exploring alternative street layouts.
- Minimize the number and size of cul-de-sacs. Consider alternative turnarounds. (See Alternative Turnarounds Reduce Impervious Area.)
- Where feasible, build uncurbed roads using vegetated swales as an alternative. (See Swales Promote Infiltration.)

At a Glance

- Streets account for an estimated 40 percent to 50 percent of the impervious cover in residential areas.
- Shifting to narrower streets can result in a 5 percent to 20 percent reduction in impervious cover.
- In many instances, streets can be designed with narrower widths and still balance speed, traffic volume, emergency access, parking and safety.
- Additional design modifications to streets and roads can further reduce polluted runoff.



Residential street with parking on one side only

Some Examples

The City of Boulder has implemented narrow road widths in residential subdivisions:

Street Pavement Width (feet)	Average Daily Trips
20'	150
20' (no parking)	350-1,000
22' (parking one side)	350
26' (parking both sides)	350
26' (parking one side)	500-1000

For More Information

Narrower Residential Streets, Center for Watershed Protection <http://www.stormwatercenter.net/Assorted%20Fact%20Sheets/Tool4_Site_Design/narrow_streets.htm>

Roads, Nonpoint Source Education for Municipal Officials <http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_9.pdf>

Protecting Our Waters: Streets and Roads http://clean-water.uwex.edu/plan/streetsroads.htm

National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas ">http://www.epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>



Alternative Turnarounds Reduce Impervious Areas

Background Information

Traditional cul-de-sacs can be replaced with alternative turnarounds to reduce the amount of impervious cover in residential, commercial and mixed-use neighborhoods.

There are alternatives to traditional cul-de-sacs, including: a 40-foot radius cul-de-sac that slopes toward a landscaped island, a 30-foot radius culde-sac, a T-shaped hammerhead, and a loop road. Alternatives can be applied in any type of development.

Sufficient turnaround area is an important factor in designing cul-de-sacs, particularly for fire trucks, service vehicles and school buses. However, these vehicles can often navigate turnarounds with smaller turning radii.

Community Strategies

• Remove regulatory barriers (particularly local codes) that prevent the use of alternative turnarounds when appropriate.

At a Glance

- Cul-de-sacs, many with a radius of over 40 feet, create large areas of impervious cover in neighborhoods.
- Alternative turnarounds can reduce impervious areas from over 5,000 square feet to less than 2,000 square feet.
- There are numerous alternatives to traditional cul-desacs, including:
 - 40-foot radius cul-de-sac sloping toward a landscaped island
 - 30-foot radius cul-de-sac
 - T-shaped hammerhead
 - Loop road
- Encourage, or require, the use of alternative turnarounds in your community.

Some Examples

The City of Grand Junction has specified alternative turnarounds that meet fire department access requirements.
 http://www.ci.grandjct.co.us/CityDeptWebPages/PublicWorksAndUtilities/

TransportationEngineering/TEFilesThatLINKintoDWStoreHere/TEDS/FireReq.pdf>

• Impervious cover created by each turnaround option. (U.S. EPA from Schueler, 1995)

Turnaround Option	Impervious Area (square feet)
40-foot radius	5,024
40-foot radius with island	4,397
30-foot radius	2,826
30-foot radius with island	2,512
Hammerhead	1,250



For More Information

Better Site Design Fact Sheet: Alternative Turnarounds <http://www.stormwatercenter.net> Click "Fact Sheets," then chose Alternative Turnarounds from "Better Site Design" pull down menu.

Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment <http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/menuofbmps/index.cfm?action=min_measure&min_measure_id=5>

National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas ">http://www.epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>



Alternative Sidewalk Design

Background Information

Though sidewalks and trails don't often generate as much polluted runoff as streets, they can adversely affect water quality. When planning for sidewalks, some considerations are material, size and placement while keeping in mind other community goals such as active living and disabled accessibility.

Sidewalks and trails are often constructed of impervious materials like concrete and asphalt, which can generate stormwater runoff into nearby streets and parking lots.

Community Strategies

- It may be helpful to have a comprehensive sidewalk plan showing areas served by existing sidewalks and areas where sidewalks will be needed. Carefully consider the amount of foot traffic expected, and plan accordingly.
- Where sidewalks are required, call for alternative materials, such as brick or paver stone, which can be laid with spaces in between to permit

At a Glance

There are a number of ways to avoid negative impacts to water quality when planning for sidewalks and trails, including:

- Separate sidewalks from streets using vegetated filter strips.
- Use permeable materials that allow water infiltration.
- Grade sidewalks to drain to border strips and adjacent lawns.
- Design sidewalks to be only large enough to accommodate anticipated use, including those with special needs.

stormwater infiltration. Gravel, with or without reinforcing plastic grids, can be considered for low traffic areas.

- Construct sidewalks only along one side of the street, where feasible.
- Grade sidewalks to drain to border strips and adjacent lawns to infiltrate runoff.

Some Examples

A sidewalk (next page) is designed to provide access for emergency vehicles. Grass adjacent to the sidewalk is reinforced with a plastic grid to support vehicles. (See Porous Pavement Reduces Surface Runoff.)

For More Information

Nonpoint Source Education for Municipal Officials-Technical Paper Number 7 http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_7.pdf



The sidewalk, adjacent to reinforced turf, doubles as an emergency vehicle access.



A vegetated buffer separates the sidewalk from the street.



A gravel trail replaces a concrete sidewalk and promotes infiltration.



Background Information

Swales are densely vegetated drainageways with lowpitched slopes that collect and slowly convey runoff. They promote infiltration and reduce stormwater runoff volumes. Grass swales can replace curbs, gutters and storm sewer systems.

Grass swales typically cost less to construct than curbs, gutter or storm sewer systems. Using grass swales can also reduce the size and expense of constructing and maintaining downstream stormwater management facilities. However, swales require some maintenance, which may incur some additional cost.

At a Glance

- Vegetated swales can often replace curbs, gutters and storm sewer systems.
- They promote infiltration and reduce stormwater runoff volumes.
- Swales can be a less expensive alternative to traditional curbs, gutters and stormwater management systems.

Community Strategies

- Consider revising street standards, where appropriate, to encourage uncurbed roads, installing grass swales instead.
- Consider requiring swales in and around parking lots.

Some Examples

Majestic Retreat subdivision in Longmont (left) and White Hawk Farm subdivision in Lafayette used swales instead of traditional curbs and gutters.



For More Information

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 - Best Management Practices, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Fact Sheet - Dry and Wet Vegetated Swales, Federal Highway Administration http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/ultraurb/3fs10.htm

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Vegetated Swales: Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet

<http://www.epa.gov/owm/mtb/vegswale.pdf>

City and County of Denver Water Quality Management Plan <http://www.denvergov.org/WMDWaterQuality/77516706template3jump.asp>

National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas ">http://www.epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>



Alternative Driveway Design

Background Information

Driveways add a significant amount of impervious cover in a community. Driveways often slope to the street and are direct conduits of pollutants from automobiles – emissions, leaks and deteriorating metal parts – as well as from household activities, such as washing and repairing vehicles, maintaining lawn equipment and applying salt for ice removal.

By reducing driveway length and width, less polluted runoff occurs, and construction and maintenance costs are lower.

Community Strategies

- Encourage the use of shared driveways to reduce impervious areas.
- Consider ribbon driveways, which are two strips of pavement with grass in between. They are cheaper to build and reduce impervious coverage.
- Do not allow roof gutters and downspouts to drain onto driveways.
- Allow and promote the use of porous driveway surfaces, such as porous pavers and reinforced grass grids.
- Consider maximum limits on driveway lengths, widths and curb cut widths.
- Consider relaxed front yard setback requirements to reduce driveway length.

At a Glance

- Driveways are a significant component of a community's impervious surface coverage.
- To reduce impervious cover, driveways should be designed to be as narrow, short and few as possible.



Typical Driveway More impervious area than necessary



Narrowed Driveway Entrance



Some Examples

- The Built Green Colorado[™] Checklist recommends that permeable materials comprise 40 percent of all walkways, patios and driveway areas.
- The Built Green Communities[™] Checklist suggests that driveways be narrowed or "necked down" to a maximum 10-foot width at the street connection.

For More Information

NEMO Technical Paper Number 6 – Driveways http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_6.pdf>

Built Green Communities[™] Checklist – Homebuilders Association of Metro Denver <http://www.builtgreen.org/community/community_checklist.pdf>

Built Green Colorado[™] Checklist <http://www.builtgreen.org/checklist/default.aspx>

Mountain Driveway Best Management Practices Manual http://www.wrightwater.com/wwe/wwepubs/pdfs/Mountain%20Driveways%20Part%201. pdf>

City and County of Denver Water Quality Management Plan <http://www.denvergov.org/WMDWaterQuality/77516706template3jump.asp>



A driveway in Loveland serves three homes, reducing total impervious area.



Innovative Parking Lot Design

Background Information

Paved parking lots are impervious surfaces that prevent rain and snowmelt from infiltrating the soil. In effect, they seal the earth and prevent groundwater recharge. Poorly designed parking lots may become part of a large network of connected impervious surfaces that collect and convey polluted runoff directly to nearby waterways. But effective parking lot design can help reduce water pollution by decreasing the volume, velocity and quantity of pollutants in runoff.

Community Strategies

- Revise regulations to encourage or require porous surfaces for all, or part of, parking areas, using such materials as crushed stone, porous modular pavers, and turf with reinforcing grids.
- Rather than requiring raised curbs around parking lot islands, encourage installation of depressed "sumps" with slotted curbs or wheel stops so runoff flows into the island's landscaped detention area rather than around it.

At a Glance

- Poorly designed parking lots may become part of a large network of interconnected impervious surfaces that collect and convey polluted runoff.
- Some water-protective parking lot design strategies use:
 - Porous parking surfaces, such as modular porous pavers
 - "Sumps" (sunken landscaped areas in, or near, parking lots), not "humps"
 - Landscaped strips between adjoining parking lots
 - Landscaped swales, a kind of natural gutter
- Drain or slope parking areas to on-site vegetative filter strips.
- If possible, provide snow-piling sites in locations other than parking lots or provide a porous section within the parking lots to stockpile snow.
- Require that landscaped buffers and islands be designed to act as infiltration areas.

Some Examples

Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials (NEMO) suggests that landscaping comprise at least 5 percent to 25 percent of the total paved area of parking lots.



Traditional parking lot median doesn't promote infiltration.



Parking lot "sumps," appropriately called porous landscape detention or rain gardens, are part of the parking lot design at the Aurora Super Target store, near Buckingham Plaza.



Parking lot runoff at Western Resource Advocates building in Boulder is directed to porous landscaped areas.

For More Information

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 - Best Management Practices, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/down_critmanual.htm

Nonpoint Source Education for Municipal Officials-Parking Lots http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_5.pdf

"To Make It Truly Green, Just Add Water: Saving Water by Design," On Common Ground, winter 2004

<http://www.realtor.org/SG3.nsf/Pages/winter04water>

"An Ounce of Prevention," American Water Resources Association newsletter, winter 2004 <http://www.awra.org/state/colorado/AWRAWinter2004.pdf>

Better Site Design Fact Sheet: Green Parking <http://www.stormwatercenter.net/Assorted%20Fact%20Sheets/Tool4_Site_Design/ GreenParking.htm>

Parking Spaces/Community Places: Finding the Balance Through Smart Growth Solutions http://www.epa.gov/dced/parking.htm



Parking Lot Size and Location Matter

Background Information

Paved parking lots are impervious surfaces that prevent rainfall and snowmelt infiltration and groundwater recharge. Unless parking lot size and location are considered, the lots may flow together onto the street forming massive asphalt sheets, collectively storing pollutants such as sediments, oils and metals and conveying them to waterways during rain or snowmelt events.

Parking lot size is often based on peak demand rather than average usage, which leaves many parking spaces empty for most of the year.

Efforts should be made to reduce the impacts of parking lot runoff on nearby bodies of water. Parking lots should not be near, or drain into waterways or wetlands. Consideration should be given to design options such as shared parking, underground parking or multistoried garages.

At a Glance

- Research shows that typical zoning regulations require more parking spaces than are actually used.
- Parking lot size can be based on actual need and/or use.
- There are a number of considerations regarding parking lot location, including:
 - They should not be near, or drain into, watercourses and wetlands.
 - Some options include shared parking, parking in or under buildings, or in multi-storied garages.

Community Strategies

- Consider requiring shared parking, parking under or in the building served, or in multistoried parking garages.
- Consider the use of permeable material, such as porous pavers, in overflow parking areas in order to accommodate the busiest days of the year.
- The common planning goal of "providing ample off-street parking" might be substituted with "adopting parking standards that meet actual demand."
- Review regulations regarding parking space size. Do they provide variations for compact versus full-size cars?
- Consider establishing a limit for the maximum number of parking spaces in commercial developments rather than, or in addition to, minimum number requirements.

Some Examples

The city of Fort Collins limits parking to a maximum number of spaces rather than a minimum number, which potentially reduces the total impervious surface required for parking. For example, a shopping center is allowed a maximum of five spaces per 1,000 square feet of building space.

Denver Seminary and Hudson Gardens share an entrance and parking lot in Littleton. The seminary needs parking during the day when students are present, and Hudson Gardens needs it at night during its popular summer concert series.

For More Information

Nonpoint Source Education for Municipal Officials-Parking Lots http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_5.pdf

"To Make It Truly Green, Just Add Water: Saving Water by Design," On Common Ground, winter 2004

<http://www.realtor.org/SG3.nsf/Pages/winter04water>

American Water Resources Association, "An Ounce of Prevention," Michelle DeLaria, Stormwater Quality Control Officer for Jefferson County <http://www.awra.org/state/colorado/AWRAWinter2004.pdf>

Federal Highway Administration, Stormwater Best Management Practices in an Ultra-Urban Setting: Selection and Monitoring <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/ultraurb/index.htm>

Paving Our Way to Water Shortages: How Sprawl Aggravates the Effects of Drought http://www.smartgrowthamerica.com/waterandsprawl.html

Parking Spaces/Community Places: Finding the Balance Through Smart Growth Solutions http://www.epa.gov/dced/parking.htm



Porous Pavement Reduces Surface Runoff

Background Information

Porous paving systems have been shown to reduce surface runoff volume and attenuate peak discharges. Permeable pavements can reduce total impervious surface, as well as minimize directly connected impervious areas.

Porous paving systems include modular concrete pavers, which interlock and allow water to drain through; and flexible plastic grid networks, which when used with grass, protect root systems and stabilize soil. In some applications, gravel can be used as an alternative to pavement.

Porous paving systems are particularly useful for sidewalks, overflow parking, driveways, fire lanes, road shoulders and other areas of low-traffic volumes and loads.

At a Glance

- Porous paving systems can reduce runoff volume while encouraging infiltration.
- Porous paving systems are best suited for low-traffic applications such as sidewalks, overflow parking, driveways and fire lanes.
- They may reduce the need for construction and maintenance of stormwater management infrastructure, resulting in cost savings.

Permeable paving systems vary widely in cost and

are generally more expensive than typical asphalt pavement. However, the use of permeable paving can reduce the need for construction and maintenance of stormwater management facilities, resulting in cost savings over the long term.

Community Strategies

To reduce runoff, encourage the use of porous pavement systems for sidewalks and low-volume traffic and parking areas.

The Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Volume 3 - Drainage Criteria Manual provides guidelines.

<http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/down_critmanual.htm>

Some Examples

• A field study conducted by the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District and the City of Lakewood showed a significant reduction of runoff volume using porous modular pavers compared to conventional asphalt. The test plot also showed reductions in some pollutant concentrations such as total suspended solids and total phosphorous.

- Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 Best Management Practices provides examples of porous pavement systems, used either alone or in combination with conventional pavement, to reduce runoff.
 http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/down_critmanual.htm>
- Porous pavers were installed at the Wenk Associates parking lot in Denver to reduce polluted runoff (below).



Porous pavers used in parking lot



Porous pavers used in driveway

For More Information

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 - Best Management Practices, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

<http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/down_critmanual.htm>

Pavements and Surface Materials, Nonpoint Source Education for Municipal Officials http://nemo.uconn.edu/tools/impervious_surfaces/pdfs/NEMO_tech_8.pdf>

Stormwater Best Management Practices in an Ultra-Urban Setting: Selection and Monitoring

<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/ultraurb/index.htm>

Field Evaluation of Permeable Pavements for Stormwater Management http://www.ecn.purdue.edu/runoff/documentation/downloads/perm.pdf>



Natural Landscaping Reduces Runoff

Background Information

Natural landscaping involves both site design and plant selection.

Native plants are adapted to local climate, soil and environmental conditions. Properly placed, they require very little maintenance and water – and that means better water quality because there is less runoff, and less need for pesticides and fertilizers.

Non-native Xeriscape plants also require less water and chemical support, and may be good landscape choices as long as they are not on the state's noxious weeds list.

Community Strategies

- As a key part of site planning, preserve and enhance existing natural areas such as prairies, wetlands and floodplains.
- Encourage the use of native species and/or Xeriscaping in your community's landscape design criteria.

At a Glance

- Low maintenance plants that require little water result in better water quality because there is less runoff, and less need for pesticides and fertilizers.
- Native plants, which are adapted to local climate, soil and environmental conditions, are often used in natural landscaping design.
- Non-native Xeriscape plants may also be good choices as long as they are not on the state's noxious weeds list.



David Winger

Xeriscape demonstration garden at Denver Water



Prairie garden at Denver Botanic Gardens

Some Examples

• The City and County of Broomfield includes the following language in its master plan:

Protect Broomfield's unique natural settings by conserving valuable creek corridors, wildlife habitats, steep slopes, and other significant natural features.

Landscaping in open space areas should emphasize the use of drought-tolerant, noninvasive plant species. The design and maintenance of landscaping must recognize the ultimate conditions of a specific location and provide appropriate plantings which can survive and regenerate naturally.

• The city of Aurora encourages Xeriscape landscape designs in its Landscaping Requirements Manual. The manual contains a preferred list of plants, shrubs and street trees for various water-use categories. The list includes many Xeriscape species.

For More Information

EPA – A Source Book on Natural Landscaping for Public Officials <http://www.epa.gov/glnpo/greenacres/toolkit/chap1.html#WHAT%20IS>

Water Efficient Landscape Design Model Ordinance <http://www.dola.state.co.us/dlg/osg/docs/Water%20Efficient%20Landscaping%20Desig n.pdf>

WaterWise Landscaping Best Practices Manual: A Companion to the Landscape Design Ordinance

<http://dola.colorado.gov/dlg/osg/docs/WaterWise%20Landscaping%20Best%20Practices %20Manual.pdf>

City of Broomfield 1995 Master Plan <http://www.ci.broomfield.co.us/planning/masterplan/99MP1_97.html>

City of Aurora Landscape Manual http://www.auroragov.org/stellent/groups/public/documents/article-publication/025219. pdf>

Colorado State University Gardening Online Fact Sheets http://www.ext.colostate.edu/PUBS/GARDEN/pubgard.html

Water-Efficient Landscaping: Preventing Pollution & Using Resources Wisely http://www.epa.gov/OW-OWM.html/water-efficiency/docs/water-efficient_landscap-ing_508.pdf

Green Industries of Colorado http://www.greenco.org



Saving and Amending Topsoil

Background Information

Topsoil, which contains organic matter, is often removed when sites are graded during construction. The removal of topsoil, and compaction of soil by heavy equipment during construction, reduces the land's ability to absorb water, leading to increased imperviousness, especially in clay soils.

By saving and replacing the topsoil removed during construction, or amending soil with organic materials such as compost, the perviousness of the land can be re-established.

In addition, phased construction, in which smaller areas are cleared and graded, can help reduce imperviousness. Phased construction requires smaller grading equipment, which lessens the extent of areas affected by compaction and other disturbances. It also reduces exposed soils, on-site erosion and off-site sediment transport.

At a Glance

- Removal of topsoil during construction increases imperviousness on site.
- Saving and replacing topsoil, or amending soil with organic matter, can help retain the site's ability to absorb water and prevent runoff.
- Phased construction can help reduce imperviousness since the smaller equipment used lessens soil compaction.

Community Strategies

Require the saving and reuse of topsoil on site, or the amendment of soil with organic materials after construction.

Some Examples

The Built Green Colorado[™] Checklist recommends that builders:

- Remove, store, and then replace topsoil to a depth of 4 inches in all disturbed areas. (House pad and street are excluded.)
- Add at least 3 cubic yards of soil amendment per 1,000 square feet of installed landscape area, based on soil analysis.

For More Information

Built Green Colorado[™] Checklist <http://www.builtgreen.org/checklist/default.aspx>

GreenCO Best Management Practices http://www.greenco.org/downloadables/Soil%20Amendment.pdf

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 - Best Management Practices, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District <http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/down_critmanual.htm>

Douglas County Grading, Erosion and Sediment Control Manual http://www.douglas.co.us/publicworks/engineering/GESC.html

RecycleWorks, San Mateo County <http://www.recycleworks.org/greenbuilding/sus_sitework.html>



Tree Cover Provides Multiple Benefits

Background Information

In addition to protecting water quality, trees reduce air and noise pollution, provide energy-saving shade, are a habitat for wildlife, can increase property value, and are aesthetically pleasing.

Trees increase real estate values by as much as 15 percent, according to the National Association of Home Builders.

A 2005 report shows tree cover in Boulder, Colo. reduces stormwater runoff by an estimated at six million cubic feet annually, saving the city approximately \$532,311. The average tree intercepts 1,271 gallons of stormwater annually, with a value to the community of \$15 per tree.

Tree ordinances that specifically relate to planting and managing trees can be a useful tool in maintaining a community's healthy tree canopy and protecting aquatic resources. These ordinances can be grouped into three categories:

1. Street trees: Deals with the planting and removal of trees in rights-of-ways, parking lots, etc.

2. Tree protection: Intended to protect native or

At a Glance

- Urban forests are an essential part of cities, rural areas, streets, backyards, parks and open spaces. They reduce the volume and intensity of runoff, as well as minimize the heat effect on receiving waters.
- A study of stormwater prevention provided by tree canopy in Boulder, Colo., showed a significant reduction in polluted runoff.
- Tree ordinances that are well written and are part of a well planned strategy can promote community tree cover and protect aquatic resources.

historical trees. These laws can relate to removal, building near, pruning, etc. 3. View: Relates to trees blocking views or sunlight.

Community Strategies

- Consider adopting a tree ordinance that will maintain the existing tree canopy. However, not all trees are worth saving, and your community should be specific about which trees it wants to protect.
- Require that trees destroyed during development be replaced with desirable species.

Some Examples

The city of Aurora, Colo., has included a Policy on Preservation of Existing Trees within its Landscape Manual. The city's policy is that existing, mature trees within the city, which are alive and in good health condition, shall remain in their present location and shall be

safeguarded from damage due to site development. The policy outlines a set of requirements for site development to protect the community's tree canopy. <http://www.auroragov.org/stellent/idcplg?IdcService=SS_GET_PAGE&nodeId=1174&ssSo urceNodeId=831>

Built Green Colorado[™] provides guidelines for replacing trees during development. http://www.builtgreen.org/community/community_checklist.pdf>

Tree Preservation Ordinances - National Association of Homebuilders http://www.nahb.org/generic.aspx?genericContentID=19086>

CITYgreen, a GIS application for land-use planning and policy-making, calculates economic benefits of a community's tree cover based on specific site conditions. http://www.americanforests.org/productsandpubs/citygreen

For More Information

Built Green Communities[™] Checklist <http://www.builtgreen.org/community/community_checklist.pdf>

Calculating the Value of Boulder's Urban Forest <http://www.bouldercolorado.gov/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=3851&I temid=1467>

City of Boulder, Colorado Municipal Tree Resource Analysis <http://www.bouldercolorado.gov/files/Parks%20and%20Recreation/Forestry/Municipal_ Tree_Resource_Analysis/Boulder_MTRA-ExecSummary.pdf>

Guidelines for Developing and Evaluating Tree Ordinances http://www.phytosphere.com/treeord/ordprt1a.htm

Protecting Our Waters - Street Trees <http://clean-water.uwex.edu/plan/streetrees.htm>

Regional Ecosytem Analysis for Metropolitan Denver and Cities of The Northern Front Range, Colorado

<http://www.americanforests.org/downloads/rea/AF_FrontRange.pdf>

Tree Preservation Ordinances - National Association of Homebuilders http://www.nahb.org/generic.aspx?genericContentID=19086>



The following federal programs are administered by the state of Colorado. They address stormwater runoff and drinking water sources at the community level.

Phase II Stormwater Program

In 1972, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program was established through the federal Clean Water Act.

In response to the 1987 amendments to that act, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency developed Phase I of the NPDES Stormwater Program three years later. It required NPDES permit coverage for municipalities with populations of 100,000 or more. In Colorado, the cities of Denver, Aurora, Lakewood and Colorado Springs were covered by this permit requirement.

In 1999, Phase II of the NPDES Stormwater Program was implemented. It expanded coverage of the Phase I program by requiring smaller communities with municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) to obtain permits.

Permitted communities are required to develop and implement a comprehensive stormwater management program that includes these six measures: 1) public education and outreach on stormwater impacts, 2) public involvement/participation, 3) illicit discharge detection and elimination, 4) construction site stormwater runoff control, 5) post-construction stormwater management for new development and redevelopment, and 6) pollution prevention/good housekeeping for municipal operations.

Source Water Assessment Program

The federal Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996 require states to develop Source Water Assessment Programs to analyze existing and potential threats to public drinking water supplies. This initiative is directed at both surface and groundwater sources.

In Colorado, the community-based program uses a two-phased approach: 1) an initial assessment phase, and 2) a protection phase in which communities develop plans to prevent potential drinking water contamination.

These plans provide communities with valuable information so that land use choices can better protect drinking water sources.

A grants program is available through the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment to support planning activities. http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/wq/sw/swaphom.html

For More Information

Stormwater Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency http://cfpub1.epa.gov/npdes/home.cfm?program_id=6

Colorado Phase II Permit Guidance Document <http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/wq/PermitsUnit/ms4guide.pdf>

Colorado Drinking Water Program, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/wq/drinkingwater/index.html

Source Water Assessment and Protection Program, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment <http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/wq/sw/swaphom.html>

Source Water Protection Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency http://www.epa.gov/safewater/protect.html

Colorado Nonpoint Source Program http://www.npscolorado.com





AWARE Colorado 1410 Grant Street, B204 Denver, CO 80203 Denver 303-861-5195 Colorado 888-861-9969 <http://www.awarecolorado.org>

AWARE Colodrado Model Ordinance List <http://awarecolorado.org/resources/model_ordinances.pdf>

Center For Watershed Protection 8390 Main Street, 2nd Floor Ellicott City, MD 21043 410-461-8323 <http://www.cwp.org>

Colorado Department of Local Affairs Office of Smart Growth 1313 Sherman St., Rm. 521 Denver, CO 80203 303-866-2156 <http://www.dola.state.co.us/SmartGrowth/index. htm>

Colorado Department of Public Health & Environment Nonpoint Source Program 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80246-1530 303-692-3570 <http://www.npscolorado.com>

Colorado Department of Transportation 4201 E. Arkansas Ave. Denver, CO 80222 303-757-9448 <http://www.dot.state.co.us>

Colorado State University Cooperative Extension

- Plant Select Program <http://plantselect.org>
- Water Resources <http://www.ext.colostate.edu/menuwater.html>

Colorado Water Resources Research Institute 970-491-6308 <http://www.cwrri.colostate.edu>

Colorado Watershed Assembly 970-484-3678 <http://www.coloradowater.org>

Colorado Watershed Network http://www.coloradowatershed.org>

GreenCO <http://www.greenco.org>

International City/County Management Association 777 North Capitol Street, NE, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 202-289-4262 <http://www.icma.org>

Land Trust Alliance 1331 H Street NW, Suite 400 Washington DC 20005-4734 202-638-4725 <http://www.lta.org>

Local Government Environmental Assistance Network 877-865-4326 <http://www.lgean.org>

Low Impact Development Center 5010 Sunnyside Avenue, Suite 200 Beltsville, Maryland 20705 301-982-5559

- http://www.lowimpactdevelopment.org
- Low Impact Development for Big Box Retailers <http://www.lowimpactdevelopment.org/bigbox/ lid%20articles/bigbox_final_doc.pdf>

National Association of Counties 202-393-6226 <http://www.naco.org> National Association of Local Government Environmental Professionals 1333 New Hampshire Ave, NW Washington, DC 20036 202-638-6254 <http://www.nalgep.org>

Nonpoint Source Education for Municipal Officials (NEMO) Middlesex County Extension Center 1066 Saybrook Rd. Box 70 Haddam, CT 06438 860-345-4511 <http://web.uconn.edu/nemo>

Trust for the Public Land, National Office 116 New Montgomery St., 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 415-495-4014 <http://www.tpl.org>

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District 2480 West 26th Avenue, Suite 156-B Denver, CO 80211 303-455-6277 <http://www.udfcd.org>

Urban Land Institute http://www.uli.org

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- Low-Impact Development <http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid>
- Polluted Runoff (Nonpoint Source Pollution) <http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps>
 - National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas <http://www.epa.gov/nps/urbanmm>
- Smart Growth <http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth>
- Source Water Protection
 ">
- Surf Your Watershed <http://www.epa.gov/surf>
- Wetlands, Oceans, & Watersheds <http://www.epa.gov/owow>

Checklists and Scorecards

Several checklists and scorecards have been developed to assist communities so they can accommodate growth while protecting natural resources. All the tools address water quality in varying ways, as well as other community values such as energy efficiency, balancing density and open space, preserving agricultural lands, creating diverse housing, and public health.

AWARE Colorado Community Awareness Review Sheet <http://www.awarecolorado.org/resources/Community_Awareness_Review_Sheet.pdf>

Built Green Colorado[™] Checklist <http://www.builtgreen.org/checklist/default.aspx>

Built Green Communities[™] (Colorado) Checklist <http://www.builtgreen.org/community/community_checklist.pdf>

Center for Watershed Protection Code and Ordinance Worksheet <http://www.cwp.org/Cow.pdf>

National Association of County and City Health Officials Public Health in Land Use Planning & Community Design Checklist <http://archive.naccho.org/Documents/LandUseChecklist-03-10-03.pdf>

Smart Scorecard for Development Projects <http://www.epa.gov/dced/scorecards/Scorecard_expfleissigjacobsen.pdf>

Vermont Smart Growth Scorecard – A Community Self-Assessment Tool <http://www.vtsprawl.org/Resources/publications/ publicationsmain.htm>





BMP (Best Management Practice):

Regulatory or voluntary measures that can reduce the threat to water supplies posed by normal activities in homes, businesses or farms.

Cluster Development: Buildings concentrated in specific areas to minimize infrastructure and development costs while achieving the allowable density. This approach allows the preservation of natural open space for recreation, common open space, and preservation of environmentally sensitive features.

Filter Strips: Bands of closely growing vegetation, usually grass, planted between pollution sources and downstream receiving water bodies.

Geographic Information System (GIS):

A database of digital information and data on land-use, land cover, ecology, and other geographic attributes that can be overlaid, statistically analyzed, mathematically manipulated, and graphically displayed using maps, charts, and graphs.

Greenway: A linear open space; a corridor composed of natural vegetation. Greenways can be used to create connected networks of open space that include traditional parks and natural areas.

Groundwater: Water stored underground in the pore spaces between soil particles or rock fractures.

Impervious Area: A hard surface area (e.g., parking lot or rooftop) that prevents or retards the entry of water into the soil, causing water to run off the surface in greater quantities and at an increased rate of flow.

Low-Impact Development (LID): An innovative stormwater management approach with a goal of mimicking a site's predevelopment hydrology using design techniques that infiltrate, filter, store, evaporate, and detain runoff close to its source.

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES): A permit program authorized by the Clean Water Act that controls water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States.

Nonpoint Source Pollution: Water from irrigation, rainfall or snowmelt that picks up contaminants as it moves across or into the ground, carrying pollutants into streams, lakes, wetlands or groundwater. Also known as polluted runoff.

Overlay Zoning: Zoning in which additional regulatory standards are superimposed on existing zoning. Overlay zoning provides a method of placing special restrictions in addition to those required by basic zoning ordinances.

Pathogen: Microorganisms (e.g., bacteria, viruses, or parasites) that can cause disease in humans, animals and plants.

Permeable: A property of soil or other material that allows gases, water or other liquids to filter into, or pass through, it.

Planned Unit Development (PUD):

Planned unit development provisions allow land to be developed in a manner that does not conform to existing requirements of any of the standard zoning districts. The PUD allows greater flexibility and innovation than conventional standards because it is regulated as one unit instead of each lot being regulated separately.

Point Source: A stationary location or fixed facility from which pollutants are discharged.

Polluted Runoff: Water from irrigation, rainfall or snowmelt that picks up contaminants as it moves across or into the ground, carrying pollutants into streams, lakes, wetlands or groundwater. Also known as nonpoint source pollution.

Riparian Buffer: A vegetated zone adjacent to a stream, wetland, or shoreline where development is restricted or controlled to minimize the effects of development.

Runoff: Water from rain, melted snow, or irrigation that flows over the land surface.

Setback: Requirements around water features, which stipulate that land use near the water must be "set back" from the water a defined distance based on site-specific criteria.

Swale: An open drainage channel designed to detain or infiltrate stormwater runoff.

Watershed: The area of land, which catches rain and snow that drains or seeps into a marsh, stream, river, lake or ground-water. The highest ground forms boundaries between watersheds.

Xeriscape: An alternative landscaping technique that conserves water through site design and the use of plants with low-water demand.

Revisions:

February, 2006

Pages, 2, 6, 14, 18, 20, 22, and 44 – Added information about "National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Urban Areas."

Page 4 – Added information about the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Watersheds Web site.

Page 8 and 34 – Deleted obsolete Web addresses.

Page 12 – Added information about "Protecting Water Resources with Higher-Density Development." Corrected Web address for Jefferson County Rural Cluster regulation.

Pages 14, 26, 30, 33, 34 and 38 – Corrected Web address for "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3, Best Management Practices."

Page 32 – Added information about "Parking Spaces/Community Places: Finding the Balance Through Smart Growth Solutions."

Pages 33 and 34 – Added new resources.

December, 2006

Pages 6, 10, 17, 20, 22, 23, 28, 30, 32, 33, 36, 37, 40, 42 and 44 – Updated Web links.

Page 18 – Deleted obsolete Web addresses.

February, 2007

Pages 14, 22, 28, 36, 37, 40, 41 and 44 – Updated Web links.

Page 16 – Deleted obsolete Web address.

Pages 6, 14, 40, 43 and 44 – Added new resources.