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Effective Coalitions: Why bother?

• Address Challenging Political Environment
• Demonstrate Broad Appeal: Strength through Diversity
• Create Political Advantage with Policymakers
• Raise Issue Awareness and PR Value
Effective Coalitions: Why bother?

• Share the Burden
• Increase Intelligence; Leverages Strength of a Network
• Use Strengths, Core Competences of Partners
• Support (or Changes) Issue Framing
• Invigorate Supporters
Effective Coalitions: What Drives Success?

- Clear Purpose – Know what success looks like
- Clear Roles for Partners & Leveraging Key Partner Assets
- Regular Communication
- Robust Partner Engagement and Action
- Common Strategy Driven by Collective Input
- Prepare for, Acknowledge Conflicts
- Flexibility, Nimbleness in Response to Changing Environment
- Share Success
Effective Coalitions: Overcoming Obstacles

- Fear of New Partners
- “Care and Feeding” Costs, including Opportunity Costs
- Loss of Control
- Fear of Diluting Message, Agenda
- The Credit Game
- Let’s Make a Deal ... Who Speaks for the Group? How does the Group Negotiate?
Successful Issue Campaigns are SMART

- Specific
- Measurable
- Achievable and Actionable
- Realistic
- Time-Bound
Use of Ballot Measures Trending Upwards

Total Number of Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Transportation Measures Win at the Polls

- 71% Approval Rate for Transportation Measures
- Twice the Approval Rate of All Ballot Measures
- Success Across Region, Population, Party Affiliation
Types of Ballot Measures
2000-2010

- Sales tax: 39%
- Property Tax: 26%
- Bonds: 11%
- Vehicle Fee: 3%
- Advisory or Nonbinding: 3%
- Other: 18%
Types of Measures in 2010

- **Property Tax vs. Sales Tax**
  - Sales tax measures more common than property tax measures over last decade
  - In 2010, property tax measures are more than 3x as prolific as sales tax measures
  - Property tax measures are more successful than sales tax measures, with 81% approved vs. 59%
## Red vs. Blue

Transportation Ballot Measures Cross the Partisan Divide

### 31 Transportation Measures on the November 2 Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Red</th>
<th>Blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• 42% of measures (13) were in regions that voted primarily for Republican candidates.</td>
<td>• 58% of measures (18) were in regions that voted primarily for Democratic candidates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 69% of measures (9) were approved.</td>
<td>• 77% of measures (14) were approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Slightly fewer measures on ballots in right-leaning regions, but voters support them at a rate on par with the 10-year average.</td>
<td>• Slightly more likely to go to the ballot with transportation issues; approval rate above average for the day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lessons from Successful Campaigns

• Find the Right Champion
• Early Resources & Information
• Professional Management
• Smart, Realistic Plan and Budget
• Evaluate Timing
• Focus on Ballot Language, “Right size” Finance Tool
• Under Promise, Over Deliver
• Stay on Message
• Respond to Critics
• What’s in it for me?
Learning Lessons from the Campaign Trail

- Series of Ballot Measures in 2006 & 2007 on takings and property rights
- Extensive polling and message focus groups provide insights

![Map showing 2006 - 2007 Property Fairness Ballot Measures]

- Takings/ED Measure Defeated
- Takings/ED Measure Passed
- Takings Measure Pulled from Ballot
- Eminent Domain Only Measure Passed
- Measures Overturning Takings Initiatives
Washington I-933 Polling and Results

Total Yes
Total No
Undecided

Mar 29 - Apr 2
May 10-15
July 15-19
Oct 3
Oct 19
Oct 25-26
Final Result

Total Yes
47%
55%
55%
39%
33%
35%
41%

Total No
21%
22%
23%
44%
43%
45%
59%

Undecided
32%
23%
22%
18%
23%
20%
0%

Building your Grassroots. Advancing your Agenda.
What We Learned in ’06 - ’08: Effective Strategies

- Accept Voter Confusion
- Focus on Key Messages
- Frame the Issue Early
- Build Broad Coalition, Secure Endorsers
- Side with Taxpayers, Not Government
- Acknowledge Issues and Support “Good” Reform
- Use the Examples
- Target the Base ... But Not Exclusively
- Conduct Aggressive Outreach
- Don’t Rely on Support for Existing Regulations
- Don’t Explain Takings
- Avoid Overselling Consequences
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Agree – Western US</th>
<th>% Agree</th>
<th>Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>Our country is too dependent on oil. We need to change that.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>Most Americans spend more than 50% of their household expenses on housing and transportation costs. That is too much.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>Rebuilding our economy and creating new jobs is the most important issue of our generation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Infrastructure spending on roads, trains, and buses create jobs and help the economy get stronger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>We need to rethink the way we develop housing and transportation in this region. The way we have been doing it isn’t working for most people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>I believe that economic growth and environmental quality are interconnected goals. We can’t have one without the other.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Support for Sustainable Communities (w/ Definition)

Source: Ford Foundation / SGA Poll, 4th Qtr 2010
Recommended Talking Points for Discussing Sustainable Communities

• Rebuilding the economy is the most important issue for our generation.
• Making our communities more sustainable means generating more jobs, lowering housing and transportation costs and using our limited public funds more wisely.

A sustainable community is:
• An urban, suburban or rural community that has more housing and transportation choices, is closer to jobs, shops or schools, is more energy independent and helps protect clean air and water.
• There is no one size fits all “generic example” or photo because each community has its own unique economic base, culture and natural resources to work with.
• Regions all over the country have their own ideas for sustainable communities and are clamoring to jump start their economy by attracting private sector investment through effective planning.
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