AGREEMENT CONCERNING COLORADO RIVER MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS

This Agreement is entered into effective as of April 23, 2007, by and among the Arizona Department of Water Resources; Colorado River Board of California; Colorado Water Conservation Board; Governor's Representative for the State of Colorado; Colorado River Commission of the State of Nevada; Southern Nevada Water Authority; New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission; Utah Division of Water Resources; Utah Interstate Stream Commissioner; and Wyoming State Engineer.

RECITALS

A. Parties.

1. Arizona.
   
a. The Arizona Department of Water Resources, through its Director, is the successor to the signatory agency of the State for the 1922 Colorado River Compact, and the 1944 Contract for Delivery of Water with the United States, both authorized and ratified by the Arizona Legislature, A.R.S. §§ 45-1301 and 1311. Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 45-107, the Director is authorized and directed, subject to the limitations in A.R.S. §§ 45-106, for and on behalf of the State of Arizona, to consult, advise and cooperate with the Secretary of the Interior of the United States with respect to the exercise by the Secretary of Congressionally authorized authority relative to the waters of the Colorado River (including but not limited to the Boulder Canyon Project Act, 43 U.S.C. § 617, and the 1968 Colorado River Basin Project Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1501) and with respect to the development, negotiation and execution of interstate agreements. Additionally, under A.R.S. § 45-105(A)(9), the Director is authorized to "prosecute and defend all rights, claims and privileges of this state respecting interstate streams."

b. Under A.R.S. § 11-951 et. seq., the Director is authorized to enter into Intergovernmental Agreements with other public agencies, which includes another state; departments, agencies, boards and commissions of another state; and political subdivisions of another state.

2. California. The Chairman of the Colorado River Board of California, acting as the Colorado River Commissioner pursuant to California Water Code section 12525, has the authority to exercise on behalf of California every right and power granted to California by the Boulder Canyon Project Act, and to do and perform all other things necessary or expedient to carry out the purposes of the Colorado River Board.
3. Colorado.

a. Section 24-1-109, Colorado Revised Statutes (2005) provides that "Interstate compacts authorized by law shall be administered under the direction of the office of the governor." This includes the Colorado River Compact and the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact. Section 37-60-109 provides that "the governor from time to time, with approval of the board, shall appoint a commissioner, who shall represent the state of Colorado upon joint commissions to be composed of commissioners representing the state of Colorado and another state or other states for the purpose of negotiating and entering into compacts or agreements between said states..." By letter dated April 12, 2006, the Governor appointed Upper Colorado River Commissioner Scott Balcomb to represent the State of Colorado.

b. Section 37-60-106, subsections (e) and (i), C.R.S. (2005), authorize the Colorado Water Conservation Board to "cooperate with the United States and the agencies thereof, and with other states for the purpose of bringing about the greater utilization of the water of the state of Colorado and the prevention of flood damages," and "to confer with and appear before the officers, representatives, boards, bureaus, committees, commissions, or other agencies of other states, or of the federal government, for the purpose of protecting and asserting the authority, interests, and rights of the state of Colorado and its citizens with respect to the waters of the interstate streams in this state." Therefore, by statute the Director of the Colorado Water Conservation Board is authorized to negotiate with and enter into agreements with other state entities within the Colorado River Basin.


a. The Colorado River Commission of Nevada (CRCN) is an agency of the State of Nevada, authorized generally by N.R.S. §§ 538.041 and 538.251. CRCN is authorized by N.R.S. § 538.161 (6), (7) to enter into this Agreement. The CRCN, in furtherance of the State of Nevada's responsibility to promote the health and welfare of its people in Colorado River matters, makes this Agreement to supplement the supply of water in the Colorado River which is available for use in Nevada, augment the waters of the Colorado River, and facilitate the more flexible operation of dams and facilities by the Secretary of the Interior of the United States. The Chairman of the Commission, signatory hereto, serves as one of the Governor's representatives as contemplated by Section 602(b) of the 1968 Colorado River Basin Project Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1552(b) and the Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs Pursuant to the Colorado River Basin Project Act.
b. The Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA) is a Nevada joint powers agency and political subdivision of the State of Nevada, created by agreement dated July 25, 1991, as amended November 17, 1994 and January 1, 1996, pursuant to N.R.S. §§ 277.074 and 277.120. SNWA is authorized by N.R.S. § 538.186 to enter into this Agreement and, pursuant to its contract issued under section 5 of the Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928, SNWA has the right to divert “supplemental water” as defined by NRS § 538.041 (6). The General Manager of the SNWA, signatory hereto, serves as one of the Governor’s Representatives as contemplated by Section 602(b) of the 1968 Colorado River Basin Project Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1552(b) and the Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs Pursuant to the Colorado River Basin Project Act.

5. New Mexico. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, 72-14-3, the New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission is authorized to investigate water supply, to develop, to conserve, to protect and to do any and all other things necessary to protect, conserve and develop the waters and stream systems of the State of New Mexico, interstate or otherwise. The Interstate Stream Commission also is authorized to institute or cause to be instituted in the name of the State of New Mexico any and all negotiations and/or legal proceedings as in its judgment are necessary. By Resolution dated January 24, 2007, the Interstate Stream Commission authorizes the execution of this Agreement.

6. Utah. The Division of Water Resources (DWR) is the water resource authority for the State of Utah. Utah Code Ann. § 73-10-18. The Utah Department of Natural Resources Executive Director (Department), with the concurrence of the Utah Board of Water Resources (Board), appoints the DWR Director (Director). § 63-34-6(1). The Board makes DWR policy. § 73-10-1.5. The Board develops, conserves, protects, and controls Utah waters, § 73-10-4(4), (5), and, in cooperation with the Department and Governor, supervises administration of interstate compacts, § 73-10-4, such as the Colorado River Compact, §§ 73-12a-1 through 3, and the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, § 73-13-10. The Board, with Department and Gubernatorial approval, appoints a Utah Interstate Stream Commissioner, § 73-10-3, currently the DWR Director, to represent Utah in interstate conferences to administer interstate compacts. §§ 73-10-3 and 73-10-4. These delegations of authority authorize the Utah Interstate Stream Commissioner/DWR Director to sign this document. He acts pursuant to a Board resolution, acknowledged by the Department, dated March 7, 2007.

7. Wyoming. Water in Wyoming belongs to the state. Wyo. Const. Art. 8 § 1. The Wyoming State Engineer is a constitutionally created office and is Wyoming’s chief water official with general supervisory authority over the waters of the state. Wyo. Const. Art. 8 § 5. The Wyoming legislature conferred upon Wyoming officers the authority to cooperate with and assist

B. Background.

1. Federal law and practice (including Section 16 of the Boulder Canyon Project Act, 43 U.S.C § 617o and Section 602(b) of the 1968 Colorado River Basin Project Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1552(b), and the Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs Pursuant to the Colorado River Basin Project Act), contemplate that in the operation of Lakes Powell and Mead, the Secretary of the Interior consults with the States through Governors’ Representatives, who represent the Governors and their respective state agencies. Through this law and practice, the Governors’ Representatives and state agencies have in the past reached agreements among themselves and with the Secretary on various aspects of Colorado River reservoir operation. This Agreement is entered into in furtherance of this law and practice.

2. On January 16, 2001, the Secretary adopted Colorado River Interim Surplus Guidelines (ISG) based on an alternative prepared by the Colorado River Basin States, for the purposes of determining annually the conditions under which the Secretary would declare the availability of surplus water for use within the states of Arizona, California and Nevada in accordance with and under the authority of the Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928 (45 Stat. 1057) and the Decree of the United States Supreme Court in Arizona v. California, 376 U.S. 340 (1964), as amended and supplemented. The ISG are effective through calendar year 2015 (through preparation of the 2016 Annual Operating Plan).

3. In the years following the adoption of the ISG, drought conditions in the Colorado River Basin caused a significant reduction in storage levels in Lakes Powell and Mead, and precipitated discussions by and among the Parties, and between the Parties and the United States through the Department of the Interior and the Bureau of Reclamation. The Parties recognize that the Upper Division States have not yet developed their full apportionment under the Colorado River Compact. Although the Secretary has not imposed any shortage in the Lower Basin, the Parties also recognize that with additional Upper Basin development and in drought conditions, the Lower Division States may be required to suffer shortages in deliveries of water from Lake Mead. Therefore, these discussions focused on ways to improve the management of water in Lakes Powell and Mead so as to enhance the
protection afforded to the Upper Basin by Lake Powell, and to delay the onset and minimize the extent and duration of shortages in the Lower Basin.

4. On May 2, 2005, the Secretary announced her intent to undertake a process to develop Lower Basin shortage guidelines and explore management options for the coordinated operation of Lakes Powell and Mead. On June 15, 2005, the Bureau of Reclamation published a notice in the Federal Register, announcing its intent to implement the Secretary's direction. The Bureau of Reclamation has proceeded to undertake scoping and develop alternatives pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (the NEPA Process), which the Parties anticipate will form the basis for a ROD to be issued by the Secretary by December 2007.

5. On August 25, 2005, the Parties wrote a letter to the Secretary expressing conceptual agreement in the development and implementation of three broad strategies for improved management and operation of the Colorado River: Coordinated Reservoir Management and Lower Basin Shortage Guidelines; System Efficiency and Management; and Augmentation of Supply.

6. On February 3, 2006, the Parties transmitted to the Secretary their recommendation for the scope of the NEPA Process (Preliminary Proposal), which refined many of the elements outlined in the August 25, 2005 letter.

7. In February 2007, the Secretary issued a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) pursuant to the NEPA Process. The DEIS includes an alternative, called the Basin States' Alternative, that is based on the recommendations of the Parties.

8. At the request of the Secretary, the Parties have continued their discussions relative to the areas of agreement outlined in the letters of August 25, 2005 and February 3, 2006, and the DEIS, and have agreed on: a) additional actions for their mutual benefit designed to augment the supply of water available for use in the Colorado River System and improve the management of water in the Colorado River; b) recommendations to the Secretary for adoption as the preferred alternative in the Final Environmental Impact Statement and in the ROD; and c) consultation processes among themselves, and consultation recommendations to the Secretary for incorporation into the ROD.

C. Purpose. The Parties intend that the actions by them contemplated in this Agreement will: improve cooperation and communication among them; provide additional security and certainty in the water supply of the Colorado River System for the benefit of the people served by water from the Colorado River System; and avoid circumstances which could otherwise form the basis for claims or controversies over interpretation or implementation of the Colorado River Compact and other applicable provisions of the law of the river.
AGREEMENT

In consideration of the above recitals and the mutual covenants contained herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Recitals. The Recitals set forth above are material facts that are relevant to and form the basis for the agreements set forth herein.

2. Definitions. As used in this Agreement, the following terms have the following meanings:

A. Colorado River System. This term shall have the meaning as defined in the Colorado River Compact.

B. ISG. The Colorado River Interim Surplus Guidelines adopted by the Secretary on January 16, 2001, as modified by the ROD.

C. NEPA Process. The decision-making process pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 through 4347, beginning with the Bureau of Reclamation's Notice to Solicit Comments and Hold Public Meetings, 70 Fed. Reg. 34794 (June 15, 2005) and culminating in a Record of Decision.

D. Party or Parties. Any party or parties to this Agreement.

E. Parties' Recommendation. The Seven Basin States' comments on the DEIS transmitted to the Secretary of the Interior on or before April 30, 2007.

F. ROD. The Record of Decision anticipated to be issued by the Secretary after completion of the NEPA Process including but not limited to any interim guidelines promulgated pursuant thereto.

G. Secretary. The Secretary of the Interior or the Bureau of Reclamation, as applicable.

H. State or States. Any of the states of Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah or Wyoming, as context requires.


A. After considering a number of alternatives, each Party has determined that the Parties' Recommendation is in the best interests of that Party, and promotes the health and welfare of that Party and of the Colorado River Basin States. The Parties support the Secretary's incorporation of the Parties'
Recommendation and this Agreement into the ROD, as appropriate to
effectuate the material terms of the Parties’ Recommendation. If during the
course of the NEPA Process any new information becomes available which
causes any Party, in its sole and absolute discretion, to reassess any provision
of the Parties’ Recommendation and this Agreement, that Party shall
immediately notify all other Parties in writing. The Parties shall jointly
consult and, if they agree to any modification of the Parties’ Recommendation
or this Agreement, shall consult with the Secretary to advise him/her of such
modification and request the adoption thereof in the ROD.

B. If after such consultations it is apparent there is an irreconcilable conflict
between the Parties as to such modification, then any Party may upon written
notice to the other Parties withdraw from this Agreement, and in such event
this Agreement shall no longer be effective or binding upon such withdrawing
Party. All withdrawing Parties hereby reserve all rights upon withdrawal from
this Agreement to take such actions, including support of or challenges to the
ROD, as they in their sole and absolute discretion deem necessary or
appropriate. In the event of the withdrawal of any one or more Parties from
this Agreement, this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as to the
remaining Parties. The remaining Parties may consult to determine whether to
continue this Agreement in effect, to amend this Agreement, or to terminate
this Agreement. In the event of termination, all Parties shall be relieved from
the terms hereof, except as provided in Paragraph 10, and this Agreement
shall be of no further force or effect.

4. ROD Consistent with the Parties’ Recommendation and this Agreement. In
the event the Secretary adopts a ROD in substantial conformance with the Parties’
Recommendation and this Agreement, the Parties shall take all necessary actions to
implement the terms of the ROD, including the approval and execution of agreements
necessary for such implementation.

5. ROD Inconsistent with the Parties’ Recommendation and this Agreement. In
the event the Secretary adopts a ROD that any Party, in its sole and absolute discretion,
determines is not in substantial conformance with the Parties’ Recommendation and this
Agreement, such Party shall immediately notify all other Parties of such determination in
writing. The Parties shall jointly consult, and consult with the Secretary as necessary, in
order to determine whether the ROD is in substantial conformance with the Parties’
Recommendation and this Agreement, or whether any action, including the amendment
of this Agreement, may resolve such concern. If after such consultation it is apparent
there is an irreconcilable conflict between the ROD and the concerns of such Party, then
such Party may upon written notice to the other Parties withdraw from this Agreement,
and in such event this Agreement shall no longer be effective or binding upon such
withdrawing Party. All withdrawing Parties hereby reserve all rights upon withdrawal
from this Agreement to take such actions, including support of or challenges to the ROD,
as they in their sole and absolute discretion deem necessary or appropriate. In the event
of the withdrawal of any one or more Parties from this Agreement, this Agreement shall
continue in full force and effect as to the remaining Parties. The remaining Parties may consult to determine whether to continue this Agreement in effect, to amend this Agreement, or to terminate this Agreement. In the event of termination, all Parties shall be relieved from the terms hereof, except as provided in Paragraph 10, and this Agreement shall be of no further force or effect.

6. Additions to the ROD. The Parties hereby request that the Secretary recognize the specific provisions of this Agreement as part of the NEPA Process and include in the ROD specific provisions that reference this Agreement as a basis for the ROD. The Parties also hereby request that the Secretary include in the ROD the following specific provisions:

A. The Secretary will first consult with all the States before making any substantive modification to these guidelines.

B. Upon a request by a State for modification of these guidelines, or upon a request by a State to resolve any claim or controversy arising under: i) the Agreement Concerning Colorado River Management and Operations; ii) these Guidelines; iii) the operations of Lakes Powell and Mead pursuant to these guidelines; or, iv) any other applicable provision of federal law, regulation, criteria, policy, rule or guideline, or the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944, the Secretary shall invite the Governors of all the Basin States, or their designated representatives, to consult with the Secretary in an attempt to resolve such claim or controversy by mutual agreement.

C. In the event projections included in any Bureau of Reclamation monthly 24 Month Study indicates Lake Mead elevations may approach an elevation that would trigger shortages in deliveries of water from Lake Mead in the United States, the Secretary shall consult with all the States on how the United States shall reduce the quantity of water allotted to Mexico.

7. Consultation on Operations. After the Secretary commences operating Lakes Powell and Mead pursuant to the ROD, the Parties shall consult among themselves as necessary, but at least annually, to assess such operations. Any Party may request consultation with the other Parties on a proposed adjustment or modification of such operations, based on changed circumstances, unanticipated conditions, or other factors. Upon such request, the Parties shall consult in good faith with each other to resolve any such issues, and based thereon may request consultation by the States with the Secretary on adjustments to or modifications of operations under the ROD. In any event, the Parties shall initiate consultations before December 31, 2020, to determine whether to extend this Agreement and recommend that the Secretary continue operations under the ROD for an additional period, or modify this Agreement and recommend that the Secretary modify operations under the ROD, or terminate this Agreement and recommend that the Secretary not continue operations under the ROD after the expiration thereof. Any extension of this Agreement and any recommendation by the Parties to the Secretary to extend or modify operations under the ROD shall be made by unanimous
consent of the Parties. If such extension and recommendation are not made, this Agreement shall terminate in accordance with Paragraph 16.

8. Development of Interim Water Supplies, System Augmentation, System Efficiency and Water Enhancement Projects. The Parties agree to diligently pursue interim water supplies, system augmentation, system efficiency and water enhancement projects within the Colorado River System. The term "system augmentation" includes the quantifiable addition of new sources of supply to the Colorado River Basin, including importation from outside the Basin or desalination of ocean water or brackish water. The term "system efficiency" includes efficiency projects in the Lower Basin that will result in the more efficient use of existing supplies, such as in-system storage and enhanced management. The term "water enhancement" includes projects that may increase available system water, including cloud seeding and non-native vegetation management. Due to the critical importance of implementing these projects in reducing the potential for shortages, the Parties shall continue to jointly pursue the study and implementation of such projects, and to regularly consult on the progress of such projects.

Specifically, the Parties agree to cooperatively pursue an interim water supply of at least a cumulative amount of 280,000 acre-feet for use in Nevada while long-term augmentation projects are being pursued. It is anticipated that this interim water supply will be made available in return for Nevada's funding of the Drop 2 Reservoir mandated for construction by the Bureau of Reclamation by P.L. 109-432 § 396. Annual recovery of this interim water supply by Nevada will not exceed 40,000 acre-feet.

In consideration of the Parties’ diligent pursuit of long-term augmentation and the availability of the interim water supply, the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA) agrees that it will withdraw right-of-way Application No. N-79203 filed with the Bureau of Land Management on October 1, 2004 for the purpose of developing Permit No. 58591 issued by the Nevada State Engineer in Ruling No. 4151.

The SNWA will not re-file such right-of-way application or otherwise seek to divert the water rights available under Permit No. 58591 from the Virgin River prior to 2014 so long as Nevada is allowed to utilize its pre-Boulder Canyon Project Act Virgin and Muddy River rights in accordance with the Parties’ Recommendation, and the interim water supply made available to Nevada is reasonably certain to remain available. The SNWA will not re-file such right-of-way application or otherwise seek to divert the water rights available under Permit No. 58591 from the Virgin River after 2014 so long as diligent pursuit of system augmentation is proceeding to provide or has provided Nevada an annual supply of 75,000 acre-feet by the year 2020. Prior to re-filing any applications with the Bureau of Land Management, SNWA and Nevada will consult with the other Basin States.

This agreement is without prejudice to any Party’s claims, rights or interests in the Virgin or Muddy River systems.
9. **Consistency with Existing Law.** The Parties' Recommendation has been developed with the intent to be consistent with existing law. The Parties expressly agree, for purposes of this Agreement, that the storage of water in and release of water from Lakes Powell and Mead pursuant to a ROD issued by the Secretary in substantial conformance with the Parties' Recommendation and this Agreement, and any agreements, rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary or the parties to implement such ROD, shall not constitute a violation of Article III(a)-(e) inclusive of the Colorado River Compact, or Sections 601 and 602(a) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968 (43 U.S.C. §§ 1551 and 1552(a)), and all applicable rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

10. **Resolution of Claims or Controversies Not Related to Reductions in Deliveries to Mexico under the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944.** The Parties recognize that judicial or administrative proceedings are not preferred alternatives to the resolution of claims or controversies concerning the law of the river. In furtherance of this Agreement, the Parties desire to avoid judicial or administrative proceedings, and agree to pursue a consultative approach to the resolution of any claim or controversy. In the event that any Party becomes concerned that there may be a claim or controversy under this Agreement, the ROD, Article III(a)-(e) inclusive of the Colorado River Compact, or Sections 601 and 602(a) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968 (43 U.S.C. §§ 1551 and 1552(a)), and all applicable rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, such Party shall notify all other Parties in writing, and the Parties shall in good faith meet in order to resolve such claim or controversy by mutual agreement prior to initiating any judicial or administrative proceeding. No Party shall initiate any judicial or administrative proceeding against any other Party or against the Secretary under Article III(a)-(e) inclusive of the Colorado River Compact, or Sections 601 and 602(a) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968 (43 U.S.C. §§ 1551 and 1552(a)), or any other applicable provision of federal law, regulation, criteria, policy, rule or guideline, and no claim thereunder shall be ripe, until such consultation has been completed. All States shall comply with any request by the Secretary for consultation in order to resolve any claim or controversy. In addition, any State may invoke the provisions of Article VI of the Colorado River Compact. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the terms of this Paragraph shall survive for a period of five years following the termination or expiration of this Agreement, and shall apply to any withdrawing Party after withdrawal for such period.

11. **Resolution of Claims and Controversies Related to Reductions in Deliveries to Mexico under the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944 and Limitations on Reductions to Lower Division States.**

A. The United States has the authority to reduce the quantity of water allotted to Mexico under Article 10(a) of the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944. The timing and quantity of such reductions will directly affect the quantity of water stored in Lakes Powell and Mead, and the timing and quantity of both present and future shortages in deliveries of water from Lake Mead in the United States imposed by the Secretary. A material consideration in the
development of the Parties' Recommendation is the assumption that the United States will reduce the quantity of water allotted to Mexico in years in which the Secretary imposes shortages in deliveries of water from Lake Mead in the United States. The Basin States' Preliminary Proposal of February 3, 2006, proposed that total shortages of 400,000, 500,000 and 600,000 acre-feet per year should be imposed within the United States and Mexico at certain Lake Mead elevations. In accordance with the Preliminary Proposal, Arizona and Nevada have executed a Shortage Sharing Agreement premised upon the imposition by the Secretary of shortages within the United States of 333,000, 417,000 and 500,000 acre-feet per year at the same Lake Mead elevations contained in the Preliminary Proposal. The DEIS substantially incorporates these assumptions into its consideration and analysis of the Basin States' alternative. For the first 600,000 acre-feet per year of any reductions in deliveries in any year due to a declared shortage, the Basin States have agreed that Arizona and Nevada will not take more than 500,000 acre-feet per year in aggregate and California will not take any reductions. The Parties recognize that there may be other circumstances in which the United States may reduce the amount of water allotted to Mexico under the 1944 Treaty.

B. Each of the Parties to this Agreement takes the affirmative position that in years in which the Secretary imposes shortages in deliveries of water from Lake Mead in the United States, the United States must reduce the quantity of water allotted to Mexico under Article 10(a) of the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944. In the event that any Party becomes concerned that there may be a claim or controversy regarding the United States' delivery of water allotted to Mexico under Article 10(a) of the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944, such Party shall notify all other Parties in writing. Pursuant to such notification, the Parties shall in good faith meet to consult and formulate a uniform position regarding such claim or controversy. If the Parties are successful in formulating a uniform position regarding such claim or controversy, then the Parties shall cooperate in taking any and all actions appropriate to the resolution of such claim or controversy.

C. Once consultation and any subsequent actions agreed by each Party to be taken following completion of such consultation are completed, any Party may initiate litigation or other appropriate challenge against the United States relative to any action or inaction of the United States pursuant to the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944 or the modification of the ROD. Any adverse position taken by any Party to any position taken by any other Party under this Paragraph 11. C. shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement, and all of the other terms and conditions contained in this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

12. Reservation of Rights. Notwithstanding the terms of this Agreement and the Parties' Recommendation, in the event that for any reason this Agreement is terminated,
or that the term of this Agreement is not extended, or upon the withdrawal of any Party from this Agreement, the Parties reserve, and shall not be deemed to have waived, any and all rights, including any claims or defenses, they may have as of the date hereof or as may accrue during the term hereof, under any existing federal or state law or administrative rule, regulation or guideline, including without limitation the Colorado River Compact, the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, the Consolidated Decree in Arizona v. California, the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968, the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944, and any other applicable provision of federal law, rule, regulation, or guideline. Nothing in this Agreement shall be utilized against any other Party in any administrative, judicial or other proceeding, except for the sole purpose of enforcing the terms of this Agreement. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the terms of this Paragraph shall survive the termination or expiration of this Agreement, and shall apply to any withdrawing Party after withdrawal.

13. No Third-Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement is made for the benefit of the Parties. No Party to this Agreement intends for this Agreement to confer any benefit upon any person or entity not a signatory upon a theory of third-party beneficiary or otherwise.

14. Joint Defense Against Third Party Claims. In the event the Secretary adopts a ROD in substantial conformance with the Parties' Recommendation as set forth herein, the Parties will have certain common, closely parallel, or identical interests in supporting, preserving and defending the ROD and this Agreement. The nature of this interest and the relationship among the Parties present common legal and factual issues and a mutuality of interests. Because of these common interests, the Parties will mutually benefit from an exchange of information relating to the support, preservation and defense of the ROD and this Agreement, as well as from a coordinated investigation and preparation for discussion of such interests. In furtherance thereof, in the event of any challenge by a third party as to the ROD or this Agreement (including claims by any withdrawing Party), the Parties will cooperate to proceed with reasonable diligence and to use reasonable best efforts in the support, preservation and defense thereof, including any lawsuit or administrative proceeding challenging the legality, validity or enforceability of any term of the ROD or this Agreement, and will to the extent appropriate enter into such agreements, including joint defense or common interest agreements, as are necessary therefor. Each Party shall bear its own costs of participation and representation in any such defense.

15. Reaffirmation of Existing Law. Nothing in this Agreement or the Parties' Recommendation is intended to, nor shall this Agreement be construed so as to, diminish or modify the right of any Party under existing law, including without limitation the Colorado River Compact, the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, the Consolidated Decree in Arizona v. California, or the Mexican Water Treaty of 1944. The Parties hereby affirm the entitlement and right of each State under such existing law to use and develop the water of the Colorado River System.
16. Term. This Agreement shall be effective as of the date of the first two signatories hereto, and shall be effective as to any additional Party as of the date of execution by such Party. Unless earlier terminated, this Agreement shall be effective for so long as the ROD and the ISG are in effect, and shall terminate on December 31, 2025 or upon the termination of the ROD and the ISG, whichever is earlier.

17. Authority. The persons and entities executing this Agreement on behalf of the Parties are recognized by the Parties as representing the respective States in matters concerning the operation of Lakes Powell and Mead, and as those persons and entities authorized to bind the respective Parties to the terms hereof. Each person executing this Agreement has the full power and authority to bind the respective Party to the terms of this Agreement. No Party shall challenge the authority of any person or Party to execute this Agreement and bind such Party to the terms hereof, and the Parties waive the right to challenge such authority.

[Signatures begin on following page.]
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