

8/22/63

Seale
confirmation

Council

Paper

Tuttle -

Starts with C's contention that T "stacked" it.

Have responsibility. Brown too has a part.

Did not consciously do anything improper

Think back 8 yr. H., R., & T. had felt it nec to comment on difficulties bec. of C's unusual characteristics. Those not solely on racial matters

3-judge ct.:

Exp'd Bailey v. Patterson. C. had disqualified himself. ~~Duff~~ As honest in his convictions but, at least, trapped by what he has written

[Carin - re Eastland's study, considered what he would do if investigators came in office. Cf. re Foreman of f.

at ex banc or Council: considered whether priv. or

immunity or jud. Jones: anything we do as

judges is jud. & under no duty to disclose.

Tuttle: same view. Jones: under no duty to talk to press.

Tuttle: all agree as since "confidential". H: at ex banc & meeting in camera. This is a jud. meeting in camera.

R: put C's letter in + rec. Jones ad. C. would not be able to leave for 3 weeks, had. as tense at last meeting unable to speak; would go out ft. first at ^{and} next meeting. R: notice is for both ct. & council. C's letter to B details

cond. (acute fibrillation - kidney infection - high fever) after previous meeting. B. is aware that at next ex banc it's

consider rules + reg. Unanimously passed. H. moved meat in camera + minutes be sealed sealed.]

Tuttle:

1st distation = C. ad, would not sit with T.