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It depends on what the meaning of the word “is” is.1
Bill Clinton

When President Clinton parsed words in his testimony, the response was contempt and disdain. The impeachment trial hinged on the assumption that Clinton’s intent in interpreting common words with a narrow or special meaning was deceitful. We lawyers cannot speak to Clinton’s intent, and we wince at the bad publicity he is giving to the profession. However, most of us would have to admit that Clinton’s use of common words with special meanings is not only acceptable, but commonplace in the law.

“Frequent use of common words with uncommon meanings” is one of the nine “characteristics of the language of the law.”2 For example, to most non-lawyers a “person” is “a human being…”3 Few non-lawyers would pause when asked if the definition of “person” included such things as corporations or governments—the dictionary definition specifically excludes “animals” or “things.”4 But to us lawyers, it is well recognized that the definition of “person” in the Uniform Commercial Code does include organizations such as corporations and governments.5

Similarly, lawyers use the same word with different and special definitions in different contexts. We know to check the definition section of a contract or a statute to determine the scope or meaning of each term. For example, the term “child” is defined by age limitation—“a person under eighteen years of age”—for purposes of the Children’s Code.6 But for purposes of the Probate Code, the definition of “child” does not have any age limitation. Instead, the definition is limited by blood relationship—a stepchild or foster child is excluded.7 Although excluding stepchildren and foster children might bother lawyers on a philosophical level, the differing definitions would bother few of us on a semantic level.

So, how does this characteristic of using uncommon meanings impact legal writing? First, when writing to a legally sophisticated audience, consider your audience’s response. For example, look at the common words below and determine whether you more readily think of the common definition or the legal definition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word(s)</th>
<th>Common Meaning</th>
<th>Legal Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>action</td>
<td>deed</td>
<td>lawsuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assign</td>
<td>to give out as a task</td>
<td>party to whom something is transferred as a matter of right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of course</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>person litigating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>party</td>
<td>a social gathering</td>
<td>deliver legal papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serve</td>
<td>to place food before</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because lawyers often have a different connotation for these and other common words, avoid situations in which the common meaning could create ambiguity. Eliminate the common word altogether in this context and, instead, choose a different word that eliminates the ambiguity. In the example below, the word “complaint” is used in its common form, meaning an expression of dissatisfaction. However, most legally sophisticated readers will be distracted and first envision the expression as meaning the plaintiff’s opening pleading.

Example: Plaintiff’s complaint is that the defendant should have paid.

Revised example: Plaintiff’s concern is that the defendant should have paid.

Second, when writing to an audience that is not legally sophisticated, the use of common words with uncommon meanings may be more misleading than the use of Latin or some unfamiliar term of art. The need to translate is not as obvious. Your readers may think they know what an expression means because they recognize the common words. For example, I know of a lawyer who had to explain to his client that, no, he
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was not asking to be excused to use the restroom when he made a "prayer for relief" to the judge.

Third, avoid switching back and forth between the common and uncommon meanings. As with any words you choose, recognize that readers have a tendency to recognize one specific meaning. Whenever you use the same word in different contexts, you impose on your readers. Avoid forcing them to expend effort to interpret different meanings for the same word. This effort may distract them from your substantive points.

**Example:** We said our complaint was with said complaint. **Revised example:** We said our concern was with that complaint.

Communication is the art of understanding how your audience will respond to your message. As lawyers, we must consider whether our audience is programmed to interpret common words with uncommon meanings. Once we recognize our audience's response, we can adjust our wording. In the law, definitions are not only appropriate, but often necessary. It truly is a matter of knowing what the meaning of "is" is.

**NOTES**

4. Id.
5. CRS § 4-1-201(30), 201(28).
6. CRS § 19-1-103(18).
7. CRS § 15-10-201(7).
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**Colorado Asian American Bar to Hold Annual Scholarship Banquet April 16**

The Colorado Asian American Bar Association of Colorado, an affiliate of the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association, will hold its fourth annual Minoru Yasui Scholarship Banquet on April 16 at the Empress Seafood Restaurant in Denver. The event will begin with a cash bar at 6 p.m.; dinner will follow at 7 p.m. Adele Arakawa, anchorwoman at 9News in Denver, will act as the Mistress of Ceremonies.

Individual registration is $50. Corporate tables, which seat ten, can be purchased for $800, while sponsor tables, also seating ten, are $1,000. To register or for more information, call Art Lee at (303) 467-9860 or Fay Matsukage at (303) 777-8737, both in Denver.
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**Free Report Reveals...**

**"Why Some Colorado Lawyers Get Rich... While Others Struggle To Earn A Living"**

**California Lawyer Reveals His $300,000 Marketing Secret**

SANTA MARGARITA, CA — Why do some lawyers make a fortune while others struggle just to get by? The answer, according to California lawyer David Ward, has nothing to do with talent, education, hard work, or even luck. "The lawyers who make the big money are not necessarily better lawyers," Ward says. "They have simply learned how to market their services."

Ward, a successful sole practitioner who at one time struggled to attract clients, credits his turnaround to a little-known marketing method he stumbled across six years ago. He tried it and almost immediately attracted a large number of referrals. "I went from dead broke and drowning in debt to earning $300,000 a year, practically overnight."

Ward points out that although most lawyers get the bulk of their business though referrals, not one in 100 has a referral system, which, he maintains, can increase referrals by as much as 1000%. Without a system, he notes, referrals are unpredictable. "You may get new business this month, you may not." A referral system, by contrast, can bring in a steady stream of new clients, month after month, year after year.

"It feels great to come to the office every day knowing the phone will ring and new business will be on the line," Ward says.

Ward, who has taught his referral system to lawyers throughout the U.S., says that most lawyers' marketing is, "somewhere between atrocious and non-existent." As a result, he says, the lawyer who learns even a few simple marketing techniques can stand out from the competition. "When that happens, getting clients is easy."

Ward has written a report entitled, "How To Get More Clients In A Month Than You Now Get All Year!" which reveals how any lawyer can use this marketing system to get more clients and increase their income. For a FREE copy, call 1-800-562-4627 for a 24-hour FREE recorded message.